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Question

Ever dreamed of a device to accelerate the course of Time?

To watch the end of the “Lost” TV show before you retire

To build faster CPUs moving electrons at Warp 9.99

To configure sendmail in 2 minutes

To remove all bugs from your Perl scripts before you stop understanding your own code.

To pass US immigration in just 2 hours

Such a device exists: it's called readahead.
Oops... going forward too fast
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Introduction to readahead
The page cache

Linux copies each file into the *file cache* before accessing it.

Useful to speed up subsequent accesses to the same file. No more I/O wait.

Yields best results when plenty of free RAM is available.

Otherwise, Linux only keeps the most recently accessed pages.
Reading ahead

Idea: to accelerate access to files

Preload files in the file cache (entirely or in parts) before they are actually used.

When each file or program is accessed, there is no more I/O wait. Rescheduling not needed. Much better performance.

Best done when spare I/O resources are available, typically when tasks keep the processor busy.

But this requires the ability to predict the future!
Possible predictions

Fortunately, our systems are predictable in some cases

File blocks being accessed in a sequential way:
the next blocks are very likely to be read too.

System startup:
the same executables and data files are read in the same order.

Application startup:
the same parts of program text, shared libraries, resource or input files are accessed.
Benefits of reading ahead

Reading ahead *can* bring the following benefits:

- Reduced system and application startup time
- Improved disk throughput:
  - more requests fed to the I/O scheduler, which can do a better job at reordering requests to minimize disk head moves.
- Better utilization of CPU resources:
  - less I/O wait, so less rescheduling and context switching.
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Kernel space readahead
Readahead in the standard kernel (1)

Status in Linux 2.6.20

Implemented with 2 read windows, when sequential file read is detected.

If sequential reading continues, the process never waits for I/O.

When this page is reached:
- the ahead window becomes the current one
- a new ahead window is created
  (possibly shorter or larger)

Current read offset

Current read window
(already read ahead)

Ahead read window
(reading ahead in progress)

Offset in the open file
scale: pages
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Readahead in the standard kernel (2)

The kernel automatically checks how effective readahead is

If page misses are encountered:
  some of the pages were reclaimed before being accessed by the process.
  Action: reduce the window size, down to `VM_MIN_READAHEAD` (16 KB)

Otherwise:
  Increase the window size, up to `VM_MAX_READAHEAD` (128 KB)

If page cache hits are encountered during readahead:
  the file is partly or fully in page cache.
  Readahead is then useless. Disable it.

Implementation details found on `mm/readahead.c` in kernel sources.
Adaptive readahead patchset

Proposed by Fengguang Wu since September 2005. Posted in the Linux kernel mailing list.

Added features:

Readahead window which can grow up to 1 MB

Parallel, interleaved sequential scans on 1 file

Sequential reads across file open / close

Mixed sequential / random access

Sparse / skimming sequential read

Backward sequential reading

Delaying readahead if the disk is spinned down in laptop mode.

The last benchmarks show access time improvements in most cases.

Also released a simpler patchset: “on-demand readahead”.

Fengguang Wu is here in Ottawa! Don't miss his presentation on Friday (11:00 - 11:45).
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User space readahead interface
Need for a userspace interface

Though the kernel can do a great job predicting file access from current and recent application behavior, there are things a general purpose kernel cannot predict.

Fortunately, system developers can use system calls to let the kernel know about their own predictions:

- **readahead**: load file blocks into the file cache
- **fadvise**: announce file access patterns
- **madvise**: announce memory access patterns
readahead system call

Make the kernel load count bytes in the file, starting from offset.

```c
ssize_t readahead(int fd, off64_t *offset, size_t count);
```

I/O is performed in whole pages.

- `offset` and `offset + count` are rounded to page boundaries
- The function blocks until all data have been read.
  - Typically called in a parallel thread.
Several variants:

    posix_fadvise, fadvise64, fadvise64_64.

```c
int posix_fadvise(int fd, off_t offset,
        off_t len, int advice);
```

Here's how the kernel interprets the advice setting:

- **POSIX_FADV_NORMAL**: use default readahead window size
- **POSIX_FADV_SEQUENTIAL**: double window size
- **POSIX_FADV_RANDOM**: disable readahead
- **POSIX_FADV_WILLNEED**: reads the specified region in file cache
- **POSIX_FADV_NOREUSE**: same, but data just used once
- **POSIX_FADV_DONTNEED**: free the corresponding cached pages.

Note that the kernel is free to ignore this advise.
madvise system call

int madvise(void *start, size_t length, int advice);

Similar to `fadvise`, but corresponding to the address space of a process.
The readahead system call should be used with care!

Caution: the readahead system call is binding. It forces the kernel to load pages in RAM. There shouldn't be multiple parts of the system trying to be smart like this.

Best to use the non binding interfaces: `fadvise` and `madvise`, and let the kernel arbitrate between resource requests.
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Implementations in GNU/Linux distributions
Observed on Edgy 6.10

/etc/readahead/boot:
list of files which are accessed during the boot process.

/sbin/readahead-list:
Orders these files according to their disk order (first block at least), and reads them ahead. No more runs as a background job.

/sbin/readahead-watch:
Uses inotify to get the list of files accessed during startup. Stopped by /etc/init.d/stop-readahead.
/usr/sbin/readahead
  Similar implementation and interface. It also orders files to reduce
disk seeks, but with special optimizations for ext2 and ext3.

/etc/readahead.d/default.early
  Files accessed by init scripts.

/etc/readahead.d/default.later
  Files used by the graphical desktop and user applications.

No utility is given to update these files,
which are generated from templates.
Benchmarks

Measuring time in the last init script

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>boot time</th>
<th>idle time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>average: 48.368 s</td>
<td>average: 29.070 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>std deviation: 0.153</td>
<td>std deviation: 0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubuntu Edgy without readahead</td>
<td>average: 39.942 s (-17.4 %)</td>
<td>average: 22.3 s (-23.3 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>std deviation: 1.296</td>
<td>std deviation: 0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubuntu Edgy with readahead</td>
<td>average: 50.422 s</td>
<td>average: 28.302 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>std deviation: 0.496</td>
<td>std deviation: 0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fedora Core 6 without readahead</td>
<td>average: 59.858 s (+18.7 %)</td>
<td>average: 35.446 (+20.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>std deviation: 0.552</td>
<td>std deviation: 0.312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Ubuntu almost achieves a 20% boot time reduction

Fedora Core: more difficult to measure, because it also tries to speed up application startup.
Shortcomings

Things that could be improved

Reading entire files: too much in some cases.
   Demand paging: the kernel only loads the pages of programs and shared libraries which are actually accessed.
   This wastes I/O time and RAM.

Not taking into account file access order.
   It could be that a file is read ahead after it is actually used.
Implementing readahead in embedded systems
Embedded system requirements

RAM is scarce and perhaps slow to access

Shouldn't readahead file pages which are not needed.

Shouldn't load pages too much in advance.
  They could be reclaimed even before they are used.

Slow CPU and limited storage

Need for simple and fast implementations, typically in C.
BusyBox readahead applet

Available on http://busybox.net

Usage:

readahead <files>

Make it easy to add manual readahead to your startup scripts, in particular when your embedded system already uses BusyBox!
Tracing accessed file blocks

Not trivial to do. Apparently no interface do do it.

Can't be done with `inotify`. We just now about accessed files.

Intercepting `read` and `exec` system calls, through C library interposers. However, no information on demand paging for libraries, executables and mapped files.

A solution: tracing kernel filesystem access functions

- `vfs_read`: reading from files
- `filemap_nopage`: demand paging activity
- `open_exec`: tracing all executions (explained later)

Any other idea?
Could have been done easily with SystemTap.
   But SystemTap should be complicated to use in embedded systems
   (user space tools to install, \texttt{arm} and \texttt{mips} not fully supported).

Quick and dirty solution for desktop and embedded systems:
   a kernel patch dumping information on the kernel log.

Seems to be too much information for \texttt{klogd}.
   (looses the first messages).
   Best to dump the messages on a serial console.

The patch is available on the project page.
## Dumped raw data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>event</th>
<th>inode</th>
<th>offset</th>
<th>size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>exec</td>
<td>621770</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>read</td>
<td>621770</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>924793</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>585470</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>456803</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>456803</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>456803</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAINFO</td>
<td>nopage</td>
<td>456803</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

event | inode | offset   | size |
------|-------|----------|------|
        |       |          |      |

device major

device minor
Our Python script to digest raw data:

- Turns inodes into file paths
  (done by recording inode numbers for each file in the filesystem)
- Merges consecutive blocks
- Outputs statistics
Output file statistics

Ubuntu Edgy 6.10 excerpt

/sbin/udevd : 53248 / 55232 (96%)
/lib/libselinux.so.1 : 42460 / 75228 (56%)
/lib/libsepol.so.1 : 19232 / 203552 (9%)
/sbin/dhclient3 : 256956 / 433084 (59%)
/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libnss_nis-2.4.so : 17936 / 34320 (52%)
/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libnsl-2.4.so : 26336 / 75488 (34%)
/bin/sed : 39208 / 39208 (100%)
/etc/init.d/checkroot.sh : 9875 / 9875 (100%)
/lib/init/vars.sh : 113 / 113 (100%)
/etc/default/rcS : 261 / 261 (100%)
/lib/lsb/init-functions : 7744 / 7744 (100%)
/etc/lsb-base-logging.sh : 3770 / 3770 (100%)

... Total file size : 98477782
Total read size : 46655799 (47%)
Ubuntu Edgy 6.10 excerpt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Offset</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Start Address</th>
<th>End Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/sbin/udevd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53248</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/lib/libselinux.so.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>32768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/lib/libsepol.so.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12288</td>
<td>12288</td>
<td>184320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/sbin/dhclient3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>20480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libnss_nis-2.4.so</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/lib/tls/i686/cmov/libnsl-2.4.so</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>65536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/bin/sed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39208</td>
<td>39208</td>
<td>9875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
readahead-blocks program

The program running on the target system

Coded in C

Based on the readahead-list code found in Ubuntu
   (from Robin Hugh Johnson and Erich Schubert)

Takes a block information file as input.

Reads ahead file blocks following system startup order.
Ubuntu Edgy statistics

Statistics:

Total file size: 93.9 MB

Read file size: 44.5 MB

Only 47% of Ubuntu readahead I/O is useful!
Ubuntu Edgy first results

Disappointing results!

I did check that
readahead-blocks is actually doing something.

readahead-blocks reads less data, but
the benefits are canceled by more disk head moves.

Will soon update
readahead-blocks-digest to write
blocks in on-disk order.
It will beat readahead-list for sure!
New victim: HP iPAQ h2200

Perfectly supported by Linux
http://handhelds.org/projects/h2200

Running the Familiar 0.8.4 distribution
(not at all optimized for boot time:
easy victim?)

CPU: pxa255 (400 MHz)

RAM: 64 MB

Booting from Compact Flash (IDE):
Sandisk Ultra II
Familiar 0.8.4 statistics

Statistics:

Total file size: 20.4 MB

Read file size: 9.3 MB (45 %)
Disappointing results too!

I did check that `readahead-blocks` is actually doing something.

No disk head moves: `readahead-list` now has a handicap. Kernel `readahead` also has less handicap.

Need to try with slower Compact Flash storage.

Could expect more significant gains.

The CPU latency / storage latency ratio is greater too. Less idle time and reduced benefit outlook.

RAM access is slower too.
Event triggered read-ahead

Proposed for embedded systems with very little RAM.
Implemented in the future 😊

- event10: first execution of /sbin/ifconfig.
- event11: second execution of /bin/grep.
- event12: first execution of /usr/bin/dillo

Previous readahead window: 1 MB of data access recorded after event10.

New readahead window: 1 MB of data access recorded after event11.
Lessons learned (1)

readahead-list: reads way too much
(because of demand paging)

Hard disk storage: minimizing disk head seeks saves much more
time than reading only the needed blocks.

Embedded systems with flash storage:
benefits more difficult to achieve, unless flash access is very slow.
Slower CPU and RAM access could be bottlenecks.
Lessons learned (2)

Decision criterion:
   Measure idle time before investigating readahead solutions.
   A low idle time / boot time ratio means less to win.

Better to be humble, and let the kernel do its job.
   However, feed it with as much advise as possible,
   and it will make the best decisions, without sacrificing performance.
Future work

Hard disk storage: read blocks by on-disk order. This will be better than today's readahead-list implementation.

Try with `fadvise` instead of `readahead`

Embedded systems: confirm greater benefits on slow flash.

Embedded systems with very little RAM:
   implement the event-triggered readahead window.
Other ways of accelerating time

Disable console output

Disable delay loop calibration

Starting system services in parallel

Prelinking

Reduce forking in shells.
   Use the standalone shell option of Busybox

Faster filesystems (SquashFS!)

See http://elinux.org/Boot_Time for details and more!
References

Our readahead project page:
https://bootlin.com/community/tools/readahead/
Even more interesting in the future!

More details and references on our paper:

Linux Readahead: Less Tricks For More
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Thank you!
Questions or suggestions?