

# Bootlin training course evaluation


10 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

## Overall rating of the course

 Copy

10 responses



## Comments and suggestions

4 responses

The course content was very good and the instructor was very well versed and helpful. But it can be spaced out a bit so the listeners have time to go through the code and resources to better understand the content.

na


The pace of the course can be a little bit slower. The trainer is the best part of this course as every doubts and queries has been answered to clarification. But the topics that has been explained needs some more cause and effect model explanation in the lectures part.

The course is a bit skewed towards being very C focused towards the end, it would be nice if it was sprinkled more throughout as opposed to being 50% theory then 50% code.

## How useful were the lectures?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses

The lectures can be spaced out a bit so the listeners have time to go through the code and resources to better understand the content.


na

The lecture and instructor greatly help support the material and the course feels much better when taken with the instructor as opposed to just reading training materials.

How useful were the practical demos?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses

It helped understand the material.


na

There was no time to implement the demo ourselves, which I think is also tough to manage to cover all the participants. Anyways was helpful when the trainer himself went through the practical demos

How would you rate the overall organization of the course?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments and suggestions

2 responses


It could be better.

na

How would you rate the trainer?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses

na


Very patient and understanding, went through the doubts of each and everyone involved before continuing

Miquel was excellent, very clear in describing concepts and clearly extremely knowledgeable as he was able to answer most questions in a very succinct way. When he was unsure he did not hesitate to say so and would instead do some thinking and/or searching to provide the answer later which is a sign of a good instructor, not one that tries to just swat the question away.

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments and suggestions

1 response

na



What part(s) of the course did you like most?

5 responses

- Understanding the device model and the concurrency lecture.
- I2C
- A detail discussion on any part of the course anyone is stuck on
- Courses are divided up in finer sections that makes it eaiser to understand
- The practical labs

What part(s) of the course did you like least?


5 responses

- The lack of breaks between two consecutive lectures.
- license
- The practical demos were a bit rushed and the long 4 hrs of lecture which sometimes were too much to digest
- I do wish more extended resources or more elaboration could be provided on the labs. Sometime it seems to be a missed opportunity to not incorporate some concepts discussed or wanted to know more but wish there could be some extended resources.
- The ending section which was very C dense, but at the same time it's very valuable so that's more on the subject matter fit for me as opposed to it's importance.

What reasons prompted you to choose a Bootlin course?

 Copy

10 responses



Comments

1 response

- Keep up the great work and can't wait to take the next one!



## Further training needs?

2 responses

Graphics stack of Linux kernel, Yocto training

I would love courses on - App distribution and packaging (Debian, Fedora, etc) , the Upstreaming Process (from setting up text mail to submitting patches, walking through that entire process, maybe with a mock kernel mailing list?) - Understanding graphics on Linux -in-depth Uboot and common + weird configurations and features - A Zephyr course would be great too.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. - [Contact form owner](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Does this form look suspicious? [Report](#)

Google Forms

