


Bootlin training course evaluation

7 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

Overall rating of the course

7 responses



Comments and suggestions

2 responses


Hard to follow from 3rd day. Maxbe doing the advanced part a little bit slower.

I had not many background information about linux, kernels, qemu, yocto, ... So for me the training was very hard to follow because many abbreviations were not common for me. But i think if i would had this background the training would be very good :)



How useful were the lectures?

7 responses




Comments and suggestions

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

How useful were the practical demos?

7 responses



Comments and suggestions

4 responses

Without the hardware, a bit disappointing.


Sadly we didn't order the hardware, if we'd have them demos would be a solid ten. Of course that's not your fault at all.

The practical demos were very good and helpfull. But its hard to understand all only by watching and not applying it by myself.

A bit sad that we didn't know that we would have had some labs with a beaglebone. But I think that that was also an issue from our orga side. A bit more hands-on would have been great. Maybe it would also be cool if the labs would be with Raspberry Pis? I think that they are a bit more common.

How would you rate the overall organization of the course?

7 responses



Comments and suggestions

4 responses

It would be helpful to get the timetable a little earlier.


I was missing a bit an explanation of the "nature" of how bitbake actually works internally, not sure how to properly express this in words .. I have a model of what I think it does in my mind, and I would have liked to test and correct it. But if bitbake was baking cake, we learned how to make a great apple pie. But not the physics behind baking. That's what I would've loved to learn.

I think it is important to apply the learned things by our own. So therefore I would change the practical labs to be mandatory. If not anybody want to buy the hardware setup qemu would be a good alternative. If the practical labs are mandatory i would calculate more time for it.

Same issue as mentioned before.

How would you rate the trainer?

7 responses



Comments and suggestions

3 responses


Very kind and competent trainer.

The trainer was excellent, able to tackle any, also weird and non-standard questions.

Very friendly and competent trainer.

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

7 responses



Comments and suggestions

1 response

Like mentioned above: I would need some more background information about the "why". Why we want to do something... For me it was a little bit too detailed.



What part(s) of the course did you like most?

2 responses

Questions and Answers!

I did like the most the sessions with devtool and that there is a script for cleaning up the sstate cache :D

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

3 responses


Basic Yocto stuff I already knew - I was bored most of the time, I signed up expecting an advanced yocto course.

The first lesson was very hard to follow.

The Kernel and Bootloader stuff, but hust because I do not need that in my current project.

What reasons prompted you to choose a Bootlin course?

7 responses



Comments

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Further training needs?

1 response

Not sure yet, but if we do, I'd like to get this trainer again. He's awesome!

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#).

Google Forms

