


# Bootlin training course evaluation

6 responses

[Publish analytics](#)

## How did the course meet your learning objectives?

6 responses



## Comments and suggestions

2 responses


Some observations on how to integrate all of this in a yocto project would have been very interesting for me.

I particularly appreciated the training format. Having only 4hours a day make it possible to do the daily job before and then to fully concentrate on the training.



### How was the duration of the course?

6 responses




### Comments and suggestions

1 response

There were a few slides, mostly at the end of some days, that could have gotten a bit more time. Overall though, good pace, well done, so it's more like a 2.5.

### How useful were the training materials?

6 responses



### Comments and suggestions


2 responses

I wished there were more diagrams. But I am a very visual person.

There were some minor flaws in some slides that could lead to misunderstandings. We gave the trainer some feedback in this regard. Overall, a well-prepared slide-deck including demo code.

### How knowledgeable was the instructor?

6 responses



### Comments and suggestions


1 response

The trainer showed that he is knowledgeable in the topics and could answer several questions in-depth, so this is a 4.5. "more than enough" is difficult to achieve though, especially considering the larger scope of the training. Probably rephrase.



### How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

6 responses




### Suggestions and comments

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

### How useful were the practical demos?

3 responses




### Comments and suggestions

1 response

It was a bit hard to follow when you rapidly showed us your pieces of code. Maybe schematics that summarized all the calls would be easier to follow

### Was enough time dedicated to practical demos?

6 responses



### Comments and suggestions


1 response

I wished the frequency analysis part was more detailed.



### How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

6 responses



### Comments and suggestions


2 responses

It was well organized. The only problem was the access to google doc, which access was not allowed for the participants from our company

I am not sure why there was a suggestion to register for the Matrix channel (via an 8 page instruction manual). I did skip this step and could perfectly communicate and chat with the trainer during the sessions. Some colleagues registered and did not use the feature once. So this seems superfluous.

### How much did you learn?

6 responses




### Comments and suggestions

1 response

This is a strong 3.5. While working with the lower-level Linux graphics stack is not the general use case, the training provided very beneficial insights that facilitate delving into these topics on demand and understanding what happens "behind the scenes".

### How useful should this course be in your daily job?

6 responses



### Comments and suggestions

1 response

I don't often face graphics on my daily job but next time I do I will be more at ease



### What part(s) of the course did you like most?

4 responses

The part about dmabuf was very interested, I wish we had a bit more time to talk about it

The part about the Linux stack itself (KMS, DRM).

display engine, mode setting, DRM, DRM prime, compositors, Mesa stack, interaction between applications and compositors

Section about Base Theory and Concepts about Graphics since

### What part(s) of the course did you like least?

4 responses

The part about every type of screen was a bit long to me

The theory part. I knew most of it already and it think it was too fast for someone with a little graphic experience (for example the Fourier transformation).

It is a good starting point though and the attendee can later have a look at the training slides and at the source code of Paul.

some parts like graphics fundamentals and OpenGL were not as beneficial to me due to personal experience. still, good content that for sure helped some of my colleagues


Nothing





### Overall rating

6 responses




### Comments and suggestions

1 response

One of the best training I ever attended!

### Further training needs?

6 responses




### Comments

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.


### What reasons prompted you to choose Bootlin?

6 responses




### How did you first learn about Bootlin?

6 responses



### Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?

6 responses



### Comments and expectations

2 responses

Very good session, thank you

Good question. I can't answer for my compapny here.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

