
8 responses

View all responses

Publish analytics

Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0%

3 0 0%


4 2 25%

Fully met: 5 **6** 75%

Comments and suggestions

Learning more about the driver patches we used Complete with lot of specific usecase veille techno

How was the duration of the course?



Too short.: 1 1 12.5%

2 **1** 12.5% 3 **5** 62.5%


4 1 12.5%

Definitely too long. : 5 **0** 0%

Comments and suggestions

Friday afternoon was a bit long imo mainly because of the several builds (machine change)

How useful were the training materials?



Not useful.: 1 **0** 0%

2 **1** 12.5%

3 0 0%

4 **2** 25%


Very useful: 5 **5** 62.5%

Comments and suggestions

usage of raspberry pi should be more relevant nowadays than expensive beagleboard, in addition trainee can bring their own

not enough boards for everybody

How important are printed materials?




2 1 12.5% 3 1 12.5% 4 4 50%

Very important: 5 1 12.5%

Please tell us why you need or don't need paper printed materials

Good, but the numeric version is more usefull (copy paste) can annotate pdf directly, paper not useful for copy/paste
Not usefull; you can send the .pdf files
very useful to keep trace

How knowledgeable was the instructor?




More than enough: 5 **7** 87.5%

Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?



Not much added value: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0%

3 0 0%

4 3 37.5%


A lot of added value: 5 **5** 62.5%

Suggestions and comments

Suggest to warn that there are some "wanted" failures during labs, then add tips to help resolve.

translating the slides most of the time but still adding a few tips now and then so it was ok

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



Not much: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0% 3 **0** 0%


4 2 25%

Very helpful: 5 **6** 75%

Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

How useful were the training labs?



2	^	0%
2	0	0%


4 3 37.5%

Very useful: 5 **5** 62.5%

Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

How difficult were the training labs?



Too easy: 1 **0** 0%

2 1 12.5%

3 6 75%


4 **1** 12.5%

Too difficult: 5 **0** 0%

Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough: 1 0 0%


2 4 50%

3 3 37.5%

4 1 12.5%

The image building time is a problem for the timing

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well: 1 0 0%
2 1 12.5%
3 2 25%
4 4 50%
Very well: 5 1 12.5%


Comments and suggestions

Suggest to ask for well prepared PC and enough powerful for compiling.

Passer moins de temps sur la mauvaise pratique

Our company did not provided enough powerful PC to endure some parts of training labs most of the time was about learning how to malpractice and there was not enough time to do things the right way

How much did you learn?



Not much: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0%

3 0 0%


4 4 50%

A lot: 5 4 50%

Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1 3 37.5%

2 1 12.5%

3 3 37.5%

4 0 0%

Very useful.: 5 1 12.5%

Comments and suggestions

NA - cours pris par anticipation

Working on Windows: (with proprietary OS/archs

Not used currently, but could be useful in case our company gets a project in the field of the training

don't really know yet

veille techno, non utilisé (pour l'instant) mais utile pour les concepts généraux (build d'os, gestion de boot, ...)

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

layer and recipe creation and improvement

To see immediately the "impact" of my work on a hadware element.

Pratical Labs

writing tasks part

labs

Labs, la mise en pratique

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

The beginning with a lot of material issues (SII training PCs)


Temps de compilation

ninvaders controls & latency é.è

dense pack of slides

les temps de compils / soucis liés aux réseau de l'entreprise (ports git bloqués etc)

Overall rating




Very disappointing: 1 0% 0% 2 0 0% 3 0 4 0 0% 5 12.5% 1 6 75% 6 Excellent: 7 1 12.5%

Comments and suggestions

optimise arm335x build time with prebuilt archive?

Further training needs?



No: 1	0	0%
2	1	12.5%
3	1	12.5%
4	2	25%

Comments

Kernel training


Linux kernel and driver development training

Buildroots, linux kernel modules etc...

Kernel driver programming


non applicable pour l'instant sur nos projets, donc pas de pratique réguliere, perte potentielles des connaissances sur la durée ...

What reasons prompted you to choose Bootlin?




Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	0	0%
Trainer	0	0%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	0	0%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	0	0%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	8	100%
Other	0	0%

How did you first learn about Bootlin?



Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



25%	2	Linux board support package development
0%	0	Boot time reduction
0%	0	Power management
25%	2	Development of real-time systems
12.5%	1	Build environment support
12.5%	1	Root filesystem development
0%	0	Technical support
0%	0	Bug fixing
0%	0	Technology and architecture consulting
12.5%	1	On-site engineering
37.5%	3	Not interested
25%	2	Other

Number of daily responses

