
# 8 responses

View all responses

**Publish analytics** 

## **Summary**

## How did the course meet your learning objectives?



## **Comments and suggestions**


The course was very interesting and well structured. The trainer was clear and did not hesitate to help / inform us about some aspects related to the course.

L'environnement de build Yocto Project et OpenEmbedded étant relativement vastes, cette introduction est suffisamment poussée et les concepts généraux bien explicités.

Purpose was to get a better idea of how will our firmware colleagues work with Yocto and this has been really instructive

It was really interesting. The group was heterogeneous (from no knowledge to knowing bitbake/Poky) and the training was interesting for everybody. For beginners, they know everything they need to know to construct an OS using bitbake. For advanced users, they benefits from the experience of the teacher from advance tips to architecture choices.

#### How was the duration of the course?




Definitely too long.: 5 **0** 0%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

Evidemment, nous n'avons qu'un aperçu général et pourtant les concepts présentés sont déjà nombreux. Je suppose qu'une formation "avancée" est possible.

3d is perfect if your objective is to ensure people have all the requirements to manage bitbake build system and manage a specific target. People needs to have some Linux (shell, compilation) knowledge before to ensure the course is useful.

#### How useful was the lecture document?



Very useful: 5 **5** 62.5%

## **Comments and suggestions**


Slides are logically organized. They can and will be used as a reference.

Bon résumé et les points clefs sont listés. Le fait de devoir les utiliser durant les labs nous oblige à reparcourir les syllabi, donc très utile.

Lecture documents are very useful but need instructor explanations. Documents + explanations together are perfect!

The ratio between lecture/labs is just nice. It allows to keep track with the days and materials to understand growing day after day.

## How knowledgeable was the instructor?



More than enough: 5 **7** 87.5%


## **Comments and suggestions**

Antoine really masters the subject.

Things were explained very well. The trainer takes a lot of examples and takes time to answer to the questions. He also took care everything was clear before moving on.

Antoine was able to answer all our questions and is really humble. The lectures are entertaining and the labs are well managed

#### How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?



Not much added value: 1 0 0%

**2 0** 0%

**3 1** 12.5%

**4 2** 25%

A lot of added value: 5 **5** 62.5%


#### Suggestions and comments

Good presentation style, make people participate naturally. Excellent orator.

Very interesting, lot of concrete example that helps the understanding of the concepts.

The instructor add his own experience, from other works, from other trainings. He explains different possibilities to architecture the project without being too subjective and explaining every cons and benefits from each choice.

## Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



Not much: 1 **0** 0%
2 **0** 0%
3 **0** 0%
4 **1** 12.5%

Very helpful: 5 **7** 87.5%


## **Comments and suggestions**

Always helped when I was stuck.

Yes, he has explained clearly what I've missed

Despite the fact that practical labs are not very difficult once the lecture has been covered, the support of the instructor is always welcome in case of (generally small) issues or more advanced questions.

## How useful were the training labs?



Not useful: 1 0 0%
2 0 0%
3 1 12.5%
4 2 25%
Very useful: 5 5 62.5%

#### **Comments and suggestions**


Maybe extra tasks for advanced users

Really hands-on, great exercices.

Les labs sont de toutes façons obligatoires pour nous obliger à "pratiquer". Une formation uniquement magistrale n'aurait pas été efficace.

Very useful! Mandatory to experiment concepts covered by lectures in order to integrate it for future use.

## How difficult were the training labs?



Too easy: 1 0 0%
2 3 37.5%
3 4 50%
4 1 12.5%
Too difficult: 5 0 0%


#### **Comments and suggestions**

There could be more difficult exercices as bonus for people wanting to investigate the subject further.

It was due to my "too basic" linux knowledge ... but on the third day, I was more comfortable. Maybe too directed by the lab description, but essential to completely acquire the concepts covered by the lectures.

The labs are difficult enough to have the required knowledge but it let's people that go faster to go deeper inside some concepts seen in the courses.

#### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0%

**3 4** 50%

**4 3** 37.5%

Definitely too much time for labs: 5 **1** 12.5%

## **Comments and suggestions**


I have a fast machine...

A bit short

My machine (personal) was too slow to build complete image. Maybe, advise to use powerful computer.

Difficult as compilation time represent most of the lab time. So, long sessions are required but only percentage of this time is really used.

## How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well: 1 **0** 0%

**2 0** 0%

**3 0** 0%


**4 3** 37.5%

Very well: 5 **5** 62.5%

## **Comments and suggestions**

Sometimes difficult to follow at the end of the day as there is so much content

## How much did you learn?




Not much: 1 **0** 0%
2 **0** 0%
3 **1** 12.5%
4 **0** 0%
A lot: 5 **7** 87.5%

## **Comments and suggestions**

I started nearly from scratch, I now know the basics and can start to work and learn more.

Not experienced with Yocto projects, this is a very good first overview to start on good basis and reflexes, but will require practice!

## How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1 1 12.5% 2 1 12.5% 3 1 12.5% 4 3 37.5% Very useful.: 5 2 25%

## **Comments and suggestions**

I will not use it day-to-day, but when the skill will be needed.

My participation was informative and more useful for my colleagues

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?


Labs

the labs

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?

No responses yet for this question.

## **Overall rating**




Very disappointing: 1 0% 0 0% 2 3 0 0% 4 0 0% 5 1 12.5% 6 2 25% Excellent: 7 62.5%

## **Comments and suggestions**

Thanks!

would like to receive the hardware stuff to continue after the training

## Further training needs?




No: 1 1 12.5%
2 1 12.5%
3 3 37.5%
4 1 12.5%
Yes, definitely: 5 2 25%

#### **Comments**


No responses yet for this question.

## What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?




0 0%	0	Geographical proximity (public sessions only)
<b>1</b> 12.5%	1	Availability for on-site sessions
<b>2</b> 25%	2	Trainer
<b>1</b> 12.5%	1	Open training materials that can be checked in advance
0%	0	Language
0 0%	0	Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)
0%	0	Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions
0%	0	Cost
<b>5</b> 62.5%	5	Not applicable - My management made the decision
<b>1</b> 12.5%	1	Other

## How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



## Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Linux board support package development	1	12.5%
Boot time reduction	1	12.5%
Power management	0	0%
Development of real-time systems	0	0%
Build environment support	1	12.5%
Root filesystem development	0	0%
Technical support	1	12.5%
Bug fixing	1	12.5%
Technology and architecture consulting	1	12.5%
On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	5	62.5%
Other	0	0%

## **Comments and expectations**

Thanks!

## Number of daily responses

