


# 9 responses

[View all responses](#) [Publish analytics](#)

## Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?




Not met: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	7	77.8%
Fully met: 5	2	22.2%

## Comments and suggestions

I had a better insight of the Kernel structure as a birds eye view, and got to see some lower level details. Good for me

I got a very good insight of how is the kernel architecture and the frameworks that it has to develop drivers. The lab was a good practical exercise to contrast the knowledge acquired. In my opinion though, the course could have been a bit more personalized because in our group there was people with more experience that could have had a deeper view in some of the sections

How was the duration of the course?




### Comments and suggestions

Maybe too short for what it tries to cover. But it can be handled by a company in a week,, so..

Some framework APIs wasn't covered (i.e. ALSA, v4L)

Due to the complexity of the contents, more hours would be necessary to cover well most of the concepts.

### How useful was the lecture document?



Not useful.: 1    **0**    0%

2    **0**    0%

3    **1**    11.1%

4    **5**    55.6%


Very useful: 5    **3**    33.3%

### Comments and suggestions

The documentation is very useful. Maybe missing some parts like how to handle correctly the framweork from code (the graphical representation is clear, but I missed how to create it in Linux, how the magic happens)

I was very well detailed. And it helped the student a lot.

### How knowledgeable was the instructor?




4 4 44.4%

More than enough: 5 5 55.6%

## Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

## How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?



Not much added value: 1 0 0%

2 2 22.2%

3 1 11.1%

4 3 33.3%

A lot of added value: 5 3 33.3%


## Suggestions and comments

He could solve some mistakes, but seemed more from knowing the "practical parts" than knowledge. I may be totally wrong though.

Even though the instructor was able to answer all the questions he was asked, during normal lecture time he didn't add much value to the content already printed.

He had very good pointers and tips

## Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?




Not helpful: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	6	66.7%
Very helpful: 5	3	33.3%

## Comments and suggestions

as stated previously.

## How useful were the training labs?




Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	6	66.7%
Very useful: 5	3	33.3%

## Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.


## How difficult were the training labs?



### Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?




Definitely not enough: 1	0	0%
2	1	11.1%
3	5	55.6%
4	2	22.2%

Definitely too much time for labs: 5	1	11.1%
--------------------------------------	---	-------

### Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

### How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?




Poor: 1	0	0%
2	1	11.1%
3	1	11.1%
4	5	55.6%
Very good: 5	2	22.2%

### Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

### How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?




Poor.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	4	44.4%
Very good.: 5	5	55.6%

### Comments and suggestions

We had our own computers, so OK. the beagleboards were provided.

N/A, we had our own computers

### How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?




Not well: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>3</b>	33.3%
Very well: 5	<b>6</b>	66.7%

### Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

### How much did you learn?




Not much: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>2</b>	22.2%
4	<b>4</b>	44.4%
A lot: 5	<b>3</b>	33.3%

### Comments and suggestions

I worked with kernel in the past, so it was useful for me but not so much like if I was a completely beginner

### How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%

	3	5	55.6%
	4	4	44.4%
Very useful.: 5	0		0%

## Comments and suggestions

I would suggest to adapt the course a bit to the audience depending on the level of knowledge that they have. Also I would suggest to do the theoretical part a bit more dynamic, with a couple of questions or with some hands on experience comments to double check if the audience is following properly

Maybe the slides could have a bit more graphics and less text.

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

The labs

The practical lab with the nunchuk!

Driver development (modules)

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?


Driver structure explanation. Clear in theory not clear what every Linux function/macro does.

N/A

The last part of the labs (tasklets, locking, debugging) did not have a clear target and therefore it was difficult to know if what we were doing was right

The link between structures slides were a bit complicated to understand (the relationship between them).

## Overall rating




Very disappointing: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	1	11.1%
6	8	88.9%
Excellent: 7	0	0%



Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

Further training needs?




No: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	22.2%
4	3	33.3%
Yes, definitely: 5	4	44.4%

Comments


No responses yet for this question.

How far do you come from?




From less than 100 km / 60 miles	6	66.7%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	0	0%
From a foreign country	3	33.3%

What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?




### How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	1	11.1%
Internet search engines	4	44.4%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	2	22.2%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	2	22.2%
Other	0	0%

### Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Build environment support	2	22.2%
Root filesystem development	2	22.2%
Technical support	1	11.1%
Bug fixing	0	0%
Technology and architecture consulting	0	0%
On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	2	22.2%
Other	0	0%

## Comments and expectations

No responses yet for this question.

## Number of daily responses

