8 responses

View all responses Publish analytics

Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**5**	62.5%
Fully met:	5	**3**	37.5%

Comments and suggestions

I'd like to dive deeper in the "device tree" aspect. Maybe have a dedicated lab on it ?

Nice to understand the under laying of boot tweak, kernel and Linux

How was the duration of the course?



Too short.:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**2**	25%
	3	**5**	62.5%
	4	**1**	12.5%
Definitely too long. :	5	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

Good !
A bit too much to cover in this short of time.

How useful was the lecture document?



Not useful.:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**1**	12.5%
	4	**2**	25%
Very useful:	5	**5**	62.5%

Comments and suggestions

Though I'd have found it useful for some command to have the references at han
Lecture was nice even if there was a lot of concept to understand. The document is well constructed

How knowledgeable was the instructor?



Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?



Not much added value:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**2**	25%
A lot of added value:	5	**6**	75%

Suggestions and comments

There was enough added value to understand the lecture. Also, showing examples from his own computer was nice anded add some interactivity
The instructor made the course worth while. The materials in the course are freely available, but the proper application of these topics is what the instructor added. Very nice.

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



Not much:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**1**	12.5%
Very helpful:	5	**7**	87.5%

Comments and suggestions

It could be usefull to provide lab exercise solution notes. I was not able to complete all the lab exercise during the class, I will continue on my own but I afraid to not be able to find the solution to some lab by myself as it occurs during the course. Without the help of the lecture it would have not been possible

How useful were the training labs?



Not useful:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**1**	12.5%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**1**	12.5%
Very useful:	5	**6**	75%

Comments and suggestions

The conception of the training is well made. During labs we have to dig in between

lectures and lab practical infos. This is a nice way to remember things
The labs were good in the beginning, but felt too squished in the end having too much to do in not enough time. However, since the hardware and materials can be brought back home, it seems to make up for it.
For and electronics engineer with few experience in Linux, the labs were quite difficult. The first 4 labs were feasible but after the instructions started to be poor. To add more details, pictures, etc, could be very helpful. To provide full instructions at the end of the training could also help if we want to complete the labs that were not done at home, or use them as reference for the future.

How difficult were the training labs?



Too easy:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**4**	50%
	4	**3**	37.5%
Too difficult:	5	**1**	12.5%

Comments and suggestions

Labs were difficult (UBI part), but the subject is difficult too ! ;-)
From the easiest to the hardest :)
because i'm just starting as a linux developper it was a bit difficult to me
They seem to strike the perfect balance between hand holding and lack of details, just enough to encourage problem solving skills.

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely too much time for labs: 5 **0** 0%

Comments and suggestions

A pity that I couldnt stay longer on the evenings.
I found we had just enough time to cover practical labs. It was fine, but this was not giving a lot of time to dig in some specifics particularity of the lab.
Its hard for some to digest the sheer volume of information in order to make best use of the time for the labs.

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?



Poor: 1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**3**	37.5%
	4	**2**	25%
Very good: 5	**3**	37.5%

Comments and suggestions

Have a less noisy video projector :-/
The room was well equipped except for the AC , from time to time the room was hot
Room was always too hot, almost uncomfortable. It could be due to abnormally hot

weather. Provided systems were completely adequate. Internet access for labs was adequate. Workspace was adequate.

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?



Poor.:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**0**	0%
Very good.:	5	**8**	100%

Comments and suggestions

Good enough for the tasks required.

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**0**	0%
	4	**3**	37.5%
Very well:	5	**5**	62.5%

Comments and suggestions

Missing 5 graduation because the first schedule was canceled.
Course and schedule was well organized with a loose structure allowing flexibility to fit the requirements of the individuals. Registration was easy too.

How much did you learn?



Not much:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**1**	12.5%
	4	**3**	37.5%
A lot:	5	**4**	50%

Comments and suggestions

New things and some refreshment
I came prepared with reviewing the slides and completing the labs (partially) at home prior, which really helped in understanding the concepts and their specific application as presented during the lectures. Recommending this type of approach to others would improve the ease of digest.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	12.5%
4	**3**	37.5%
Very useful.: 5	**4**	50%

Comments and suggestions

If my hierarchy gives me the mean I could be even 5
It will give me a better understanding of what I'm doing every day. Also I hope I'll use quickly some tools learned in the labs.
building linux kernel is not my job but as a hardware developper working on Zynq(FPGA) it will be very helpfull

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

Device tree. Having the target run without buildroot
- Filesystem - Kernel - Pratical labs
UBIFS labs, U-Boot.Licensing
U-boot & Kernel compiling, understanding of boot start session. Software compiling Buildroot
the first and last labs
The practical application of the theory, allowing immediate feedback.

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

None
QT
none
the flash file systems labs which is not usefull to me
The room it was hosted in. Too hot.

Overall rating



Comments and suggestions

No responses yet for this question.

Further training needs?



No:	1	**0**	0%
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**2**	25%
	4	**0**	0%
Yes, definitely:	5	**6**	75%

Comments

When I reach a sufficient level, I'd be interested in learning how to write drivers.
more specific labs about driver or realtime

How far do you come from?



25%
'.5%
'.5%

What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	**4**	50%
Availability for on-site sessions	**0**	0%
Trainer	**5**	62.5%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	**7**	87.5%
Language	**0**	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	**1**	12.5%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	**2**	25%
Cost	**0**	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	**0**	0%
Other	**2**	25%

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



		37.5%
		37.5%
		25%
		0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	**0**	0%
Other	**0**	0%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Linux board support package development	**2**	25%
Boot time reduction	**1**	12.5%
Power management	**1**	12.5%
Development of real-time systems	**1**	12.5%
Build environment support	**1**	12.5%
Root filesystem development	**0**	0%
Technical support	**2**	25%
Bug fixing	**0**	0%
Technology and architecture consulting	**3**	37.5%
On-site engineering	**1**	12.5%
Not interested	**2**	25%
Other	**0**	0%

Comments and expectations

On-site contract work on specific projects.

Number of daily responses