6 responses

View all responses   Publish analytics

Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

|		
Not met: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
Fully met: 5	**6**	100%

Comments and suggestions

I have started the course with some self-educated basics and a lot of points are much more clearer after the course. Moreover the course increases the interest in the topic

Maybe put some slided with Eclipse Buildroot Plugin

Maybe there could be more about linux init systems. I think it's gonna be very interesting for embedded linux developers in terms of boot time.

### How was the duration of the course?

Comments and suggestions

Very interesting topic and a very pleasant presentation style. Most of the practical labs could be done in time.

Embedded Linux is a very wide topic (i don't have to say that to you :D) So one week was at least enough for an overwview

How useful was the lecture document?

Not useful.: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**4**	66.7%
Very useful: 5	**2**	33.3%

Comments and suggestions

The document of the practical labs are good because of the explanations in some chapters. The presentation sheets are good, but in some points (file system topic (ubi, etc.)) they could be more specific

## How knowledgeable was the instructor?

Not enough for me: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
More than enough: 5	6	100%

Comments and suggestions

Every questions could be answered. In addition to that the style of answering the questions was very comfortable. Questions about same off topics were also adequately answered.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

Not much added value: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	16.7%
4	1	16.7%
A lot of added value: 5	4	66.7%

Suggestions and comments

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

Not much: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
Very helpful: 5	**6**	100%

Comments and suggestions

How useful were the training labs?

Not useful: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	16.7%
4	**3**	50%
Very useful: 5	**2**	33.3%

Comments and suggestions

How difficult were the training labs?

|		
2	**1**	16.7%
3	**3**	50%
4	**2**	33.3%
Too difficult: 5	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

some were easy some were challenging.

Sometimes not specific explained, but that is exactly what i liked. It demands to think for yourself and do some trial and error, which is also part of developing

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?

|		
Definitely not enough: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**4**	66.7%
4	**2**	33.3%
Definitely too much time for labs: 5	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

perfect

## How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

Poor: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**2**	33.3%
4	**0**	0%
Very good: 5	**4**	66.7%

Comments and suggestions

The equipment was quite sufficient

Training took place at our company.

## How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

Poor.: 1	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**2**	33.3%
4	**2**	33.3%
Very good.: 5	**2**	33.3%

Comments and suggestions

The equipment was quite sufficient

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

Not well: 1	**0**	0%	
2	**0**	0%	
3	**0**	0%	
4	**1**	16.7%	
Very well: 5	**5**	83.3%	

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?

Not much: 1	**0**	0%	
2	**0**	0%	
3	**0**	0%	
4	**1**	16.7%	
A lot: 5	**5**	83.3%	

Comments and suggestions

How useful should this course be in your daily job?

Not useful: 1	**0**	0%	
	2	**0**	0%
	3	**1**	16.7%
	4	**3**	50%
Very useful.: 5	**2**	33.3%	

Comments and suggestions

Nearly the only thing I didn't like about the training were the slides. They're too full of text. Of course it is nice to have them to look things up later, or during the labs. But for the presentatition I would suggest that you use less bullet points and less full scentences. If you change that, maybe the "long scentence"-version of the slides could remain available at the website (as I mentioned, to be able to look things up later).

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

REAL Time and Filesystems

Kernel sources Kernel - Cross-compiling Third party libraries and applica- tions

The labs

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?

As I said, the slides

Overall rating

6	**3**	50%
Excellent: 7	**3**	50%

Comments and suggestions

Further training needs?

No: 1	**1**	16.7%
2	**0**	0%
3	**2**	33.3%
4	**3**	50%
Yes, definitely: 5	**0**	0%

Comments

How far do you come from?

83.3%

From less than 100 km / 60 miles	**5**	83.3%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	**0**	0%
From a foreign country	**1**	16.7%

What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?

Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	**0**	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	**0**	0%
Trainer	**2**	33.3%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	**3**	50%
Language	**1**	16.7%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	**0**	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	**0**	0%
Cost	**0**	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	**3**	50%
Other	**0**	0%

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?

Course recommended by previous participants	**0**	0%
Internet search engines	**0**	0%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	**2**	33.3%
Presentations in conferences	**1**	16.7%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	**2**	33.3%
Other	**1**	16.7%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?

Linux board support package development	**2**	33.3%
Boot time reduction	**1**	16.7%
Power management	**0**	0%
Development of real-time systems	**0**	0%
Build environment support	**3**	50%
Root filesystem development	**2**	33.3%
Technical support	**0**	0%
Bug fixing	**1**	16.7%
Technology and architecture consulting	**0**	0%
On-site engineering	**0**	0%
Not interested	**2**	33.3%
Other	**0**	0%

Comments and expectations

Number of daily responses