Edit this form

15 responses

Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

| Not met: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	6	40%
Fully met: 5	9	60%

Comments and suggestions

Labs session were tough, I would have liked maybe a bit more on insight on the scheduler

The week before the training I read the slides and prepared the labs, which is something I would recommend everyone to do in order to take full advantage of the training sessions. Maybe this should be noticed in advance for all participants.

Maybe, a list of pre-course readings would be necessary to learn more dring the course. Explanation is very good, but there are a lot material for 4 days.

How was the duration of the course?

Definitely too long. : 5   0   0%

Comments and suggestions

Even when compressed in 4 days (default is 5), our trainer made it really interesting in order to cope with the limited duration.

This is a 5 day course done in 4 days. Company decided to do it this way, not free-eelectrons

I think it is not recommended to try to do the 5 days course in 4 days, but it is not the fault of Free Electrons.

How useful was the lecture document?

Not useful.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	20%
Very useful: 5	12	80%

## Comments and suggestions

Just perfect.

Presentation slides with some text written are useful to remeber what was explained. But

How knowledgeable was the instructor?

|			
Not enough for me: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
More than enough: 5	15	100%

Comments and suggestions

very knowledgable

He could resolve all issues and troubling situations with ease, he gave us in-depth explanations about anything asked. He even expand his comments further the linux embedded technical knowledge covering even nicely embedded linux current business situations when he was asked. I am really grateful about that.

The instructor is proefficient in the course material. He is willing to help anyone.

Instructor was very competent technically, but also personally.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

|		
Not much added value: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	13.3%
A lot of added value: 5	13	86.7%

Suggestions and comments

I think is mandatory to have advise to land in linux drivers

Material without a good explanation is useless. The instructor value was invalueable

All questions were answered at the moment. Instructor knows very well this subject.

## Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	20%
Very helpful: 5	12	80%

Comments and suggestions

Yes of course. He helped us to solve any doubt we had

All doubts were answered at the moment. Instructor knows very well this subject.

## How useful were the training labs?

Comments and suggestions

You know if you have undertood the theory when you does the labs, They are mandatory, and they are well though for an introductory course

In some labs, we need to search for some information in large documents. This didn't contribute to the learning object. Maybe it could be possible to add some recipe in practical lab documentation, like look at this document in page xx, or figure yy.

How difficult were the training labs?

|			
Too easy: 1	0	0%	
	2	2	13.3%
	3	9	60%
	4	3	20%
Too difficult: 5	1	6.7%	

Comments and suggestions

Not easy specially the docuementation borad and the Referene manual of the processor for the pin layout

Let's say challenging, but I think it is the goal.

Not too much. Difficulty was sometimes in searching in Linux code to find similar examples. The labs pdfs helped a lot too, without giving you the total solution

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?

|			
Definitely not enough: 1	0	0%	
	2	1	6.7%
	3	9	60%
	4	5	33.3%
Definitely too much time for labs: 5	0	0%	

Comments and suggestions

Maybe 4 days was very tight. Anywas, labs were completed

The balance between labs and theory is good.

## How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

Poor: 1	0	0%	
	2	0	0%
	3	3	20%
	4	7	46.7%
Very good: 5	5	33.3%	

Comments and suggestions

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

Poor.: 1	0	0%	
2	0	0%	
3	2	13.3%	
4	6	40%	
Very good.: 5	7	46.7%	

Comments and suggestions

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?

Not well: 1	0	0%	
2	0	0%	
3	0	0%	
4	2	13.3%	
Very well: 5	13	86.7%	

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?

|		
Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	6.7%
4	5	33.3%
A lot: 5	9	60%

Comments and suggestions

I need to revisit the theory and try some driver on my own.

Too much information for 4 days. Extra readings would be appreciated....even before the course

I learned a lot about Kernel driver.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?

Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	1	6.7%

3	8	53.3%
4	3	20%
Very useful.: 5	3	20%

Comments and suggestions

Not currently using linux but maybe in the future.
Not much
Not usefull at the moment but sure in the future.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

Linux kernel source, the Linux foundation, how the kernel evolves every 2 month
Labs
I think it is the linux kernel overview in general
driver model, debugging
The lab sessions were very well structured. I really enjoyed them.
The whole course
Practical labs
practical labs

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Last part labs, kernel debugging, could have more explanation
Link drivers with DT but I think unfortunately it is one of the most important things ...
I would have added one extra day to talk a bit more about "bonus" issues (porting to new platforms, kernel contributing process, etc...)
None

Overall rating

Very disappointing: 1	0	0%
	2	0	0%

|		
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	6	40%
Excellent: 7	9	60%

Comments and suggestions

I think the course was very well prepared, with excellent material and a great teacher. The pace was very intense, but if was good to make the most of the time assigned for the course. Thanks very much for the couse. I think we all learned a lot with it.

I am very happy about this course, it is one of the best I have done. Very good rating.

Further training needs?

No: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	6.7%
4	2	13.3%
Yes, definitely: 5	12	80%

Comments

We're interested on Yocto training but it will depend on our budget for next year.

real-time systems, no mmu systems, custom asic systems

Of course...if the company pays for the courses

Sure, and I will recomend this company.

Yocto

How far do you come from?

From less than 100 km / 60 miles   7   46.7%

From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	0	0%
From a foreign country	8	53.3%

What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?

Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	1	6.7%
Trainer	2	13.3%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	2	13.3%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	0	0%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	10	66.7%
Other	3	20%

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?

Linux board support package development   8   53.3%
Boot time reduction   1   6.7%
Power management   1   6.7%
Development of real-time systems   10   66.7%
Build environment support   5   33.3%
Root filesystem development   4   26.7%
Technical support   4   26.7%
Bug fixing   3   20%
Technology and architecture consulting   4   26.7%

On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	2	13.3%
Other	2	13.3%

Comments and expectations

Not at this time, but let's in the future ;)

Number of daily responses