


# 13 responses

[View all responses](#)[Publish analytics](#)

## Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met: 1   **0**   0%

2   **0**   0%

3   **1**   7.7%


4   **6**   46.2%

Fully met: 5   **6**   46.2%

## Comments and suggestions

manque un peu de 'time-keeping' pour pouvoir aborder tout le plan du training

How was the duration of the course?



Too short.: 1   **0**   0%


	2	1	7.7%
	3	8	61.5%
	4	4	30.8%
Definitely too long. :	5	0	0%

## Comments and suggestions

1/2 day too short

16 attendees is a bit too much. I would suggest to limit it to 10 attendees max in order to cover all the labs


## How useful was the lecture document?



Not useful.:	1	0	0%
	2	1	7.7%
	3	1	7.7%
	4	4	30.8%
Very useful:	5	7	53.8%

## Comments and suggestions

## How knowledgeable was the instructor?




Not enough for me: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>3</b>	23.1%
More than enough: 5	<b>10</b>	76.9%

## Comments and suggestions

maybe limit questions when they are answered latter in the slides or if they are too specific. I would recommend to have slides, some questions during the slides, labs and advanced questions after

## How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




Not much added value: 1	<b>0</b>	0%
2	<b>0</b>	0%
3	<b>0</b>	0%
4	<b>5</b>	38.5%
A lot of added value: 5	<b>8</b>	61.5%

## Suggestions and comments


no paraphrase, that was good!!

## Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



### Comments and suggestions

#### How useful were the training labs?



Not useful: 1    **0**    0%

2    **1**    7.7%

3    **2**    15.4%


4    **5**    38.5%

Very useful: 5    **5**    38.5%

### Comments and suggestions

the labs take a lot long for non programming experts


#### How difficult were the training labs?



### Comments and suggestions

See above

### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough: 1	0	0%
2	4	30.8%
3	4	30.8%
4	4	30.8%

Definitely too much time for labs: 5    **1**    7.7%


### Comments and suggestions

1/2 day too short

for 16 attendees, it would be good to have one more day

Not enough due to complexity of some concept (specially for beginner)

### How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?




Very good: 5   **6**   46.2%

### Comments and suggestions

room was small for 16 people (a lot of noise during lab sessions) equipment and rest of environment were ok

### How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?



Poor.: 1   **0**   0%

2   **0**   0%


3   **2**   15.4%

4   **5**   38.5%

Very good.: 5   **6**   46.2%

### Comments and suggestions

### How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?




4    **4**    30.8%

Very well: 5    **7**    53.8%

### Comments and suggestions

5 days are not enough for the training as is

### How much did you learn?



Not much: 1    **0**    0%

2    **0**    0%


3    **0**    0%

4    **7**    53.8%

A lot: 5    **6**    46.2%

### Comments and suggestions

### How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Very useful.: 5    **3**    23.1%

## Comments and suggestions

very useful to have a very good overview of Linux and the complexity/pitfall of driver development.

Need more practice to use course in my daily job

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

device driver model

device model interrupt handling debug

Kernel Framework, Memory, Power,

UART device dev + IT

les labs ont été très utiles

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?


none

tools to grep the source code (cscope)

I2C API

None

## Overall rating





Very disappointing: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	2	15.4%
6	6	46.2%
Excellent: 7	5	38.5%


## Comments and suggestions

Put in place time keeping with regard to questions (too many questions)

Print the slides on 2 pages to have one blank page for writing notes

comme indiqué ci-dessus, il aurait fallu cadrer un peu plus le schedule pour pouvoir aborder tous les aspects du training (on a du annuler les derniers lab pour pouvoir couvrir les slides)

## Further training needs?




No: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	23.1%
4	3	23.1%
Yes, definitely: 5	7	53.8%


## Comments

Linux

## How far do you come from?




## What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?




Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	2	15.4%
Availability for on-site sessions	4	30.8%
Trainer	2	15.4%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	5	38.5%
Language	1	7.7%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	1	7.7%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	2	15.4%
Cost	1	7.7%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	7	53.8%
Other	0	0%

## How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



### Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Linux board support package development	3	23.1%
Boot time reduction	2	15.4%
Power management	6	46.2%
Development of real-time systems	6	46.2%
Build environment support	0	0%
Root filesystem development	1	7.7%
Technical support	0	0%
Bug fixing	6	46.2%
Technology and architecture consulting	1	7.7%
On-site engineering	0	0%

Not interested	3	23.1%
Other	0	0%

Comments and expectations

Depends on future tasks.

Number of daily responses

