


# 14 responses

[View all responses](#)

[Publish analytics](#)

## Summary


How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	7.1%
4	7	50%
Fully met: 5	6	42.9%

## Comments and suggestions

How was the duration of the course?




Too short.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	11	78.6%

	3	11	78.6%
	4	1	7.1%
Definitely too long. : 5	2	14.3%	

### Comments and suggestions

There could be more lectures and exercises. We finish course in 4 days. :)

How useful was the lecture document?




Not useful.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	14.3%
4	4	28.6%
Very useful: 5	8	57.1%

### Comments and suggestions

Really good, provide just enough information for somebody who meets this area for first time but in same time require to be careful on lectures and use knowledge from it.

I would like to see a little longer and in depth document that lecture slides.

How knowledgeable was the instructor?




Not enough for me: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	7.1%
4	5	35.7%
More than enough: 5	8	57.1%

### Comments and suggestions

Instructor seems like a cool guy, willing to help with lectures. Mine main problem is that instructor doesn't distinct harder and easier topics. He use same amount to explain them both. It will me much better to use more time for harder and mire important topics.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




Not much added value: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	8	57.1%
A lot of added value: 5	6	42.9%

### Suggestions and comments

Use more time for core topics.

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?




Very useful: 5    11    78.6%

### Comments and suggestions

Yes, very helpful.

### How useful were the training labs?



Not useful: 1    0    0%  
                   2    0    0%  
                   3    0    0%  
                   4    3    21.4%


Very useful: 5    11    78.6%

### Comments and suggestions

Very helpful.

Implemented and tested everything on a real hardware, and that gives best results. So, a great plus there. Earlier versions of this course used QEMU as a testing referent platform, which was not that much suitable.

### How difficult were the training labs?



2 3 21.4%

3 11 78.6%


4 0 0%

Too difficult: 5 0 0%

### Comments and suggestions

Some of the later labs were better, as they required more work on our side.

### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough: 1 0 0%

2 0 0%

3 7 50%


4 7 50%

Definitely too much time for labs: 5 0 0%

### Comments and suggestions

There was enough time for practical labs.

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?




Poor: 1	0	0%
2	1	7.1%
3	2	14.3%
4	7	50%

Very good: 5    4    28.6%

### Comments and suggestions

Main problem is that 2 participants use same board and additional equipment, but this is something what is probably arranged between our company and free-electrons.

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?




Poor.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	7.1%
4	4	28.6%

Very good.: 5    9    64.3%

### Comments and suggestions

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?


schedule...)?



Not well: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	7.1%
4	5	35.7%
Very well: 5	8	57.1%

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?




Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	7.1%
4	7	50%
A lot: 5	6	42.9%

Comments and suggestions

Another week to cover specific framework would be great :)

There is a lot to apprehend from this course, but at least I got a lot of useful information and a better understanding of overall kernel framework functionality and practices used in driver development.

## How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	2	14.3%
3	5	35.7%
4	6	42.9%
Very useful.: 5	1	7.1%

## Comments and suggestions

At the moment not so much, but I hopeful that it will be useful in future.

## What part(s) of the course did you like most?

I had a chance to ask questions specific to my daily work that are not covered by the course.

the labs

Nunchuk driver and input manager.

Parts about processes, memory management... That part I were interested about.

Practical labs, and implementing a functional driver for uart and i2c devices.

Labs

Practical labs

Practical labs were the best. :)

Practical labs and some specific topics related to my work.

## What part(s) of the course did you like least?


All practical labs were useful.

I was a bit confused with devices, drivers, controllers. I need more time to get along with it and to learn it by myself. Still, I think trainer did a good job.

None




### Overall rating



Very disappointing: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	5	35.7%
6	5	35.7%
Excellent: 7	4	28.6%

### Comments and suggestions

#### Further training needs?




No: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	21.4%
4	3	21.4%
Yes, definitely: 5	8	57.1%

## Comments

Android


android

### How far do you come from?



From less than 100 km / 60 miles	8	57.1%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	1	7.1%
From a foreign country	5	35.7%


### What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	3	21.4%
Trainer	2	14.3%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	6	42.9%
Language	3	21.4%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	1	7.1%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	1	7.1%
Cost	0	0%


	Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision		9	64.3%
	Other	0	0%

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	0	0%
Internet search engines	7	50%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	4	28.6%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	3	21.4%
Other	0	0%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Linux board support package development 3 21.4%

Boot time reduction	3	21.4%
Power management	1	7.1%
Development of real-time systems	2	14.3%
Build environment support	1	7.1%
Root filesystem development	5	35.7%
Technical support	4	28.6%
Bug fixing	1	7.1%
Technology and architecture consulting	2	14.3%
On-site engineering	1	7.1%
Not interested	4	28.6%
Other	0	0%

### Comments and expectations

### Number of daily responses

