
# 9 responses

View all responses

Publish analytics

# **Summary**

#### How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0%


**3 0** 0%

**4 3** 33.3%

Fully met: 5 **6** 66.7%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

#### How was the duration of the course?



Too short.: 1 **0** 0%

2 **0** 0%

ŀ


3 **7** 77.8% **4 2** 22.2%

Definitely too long. : 5 **0** 0%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

5 day is great, but it is hard at once without a break e.g a weekend between

#### How useful was the lecture document?



Not useful.: 1 **0** 0%

**2 0** 0%

**3 2** 22.2%

**4 3** 33.3%

Very useful: 5 **4** 44.4%


#### **Comments and suggestions**

The Lab Book was not always very clear / exact. The informations was sometimes disorderly.

Some additional information, added under the slides (not displayed during the presentation) would enforce the understanding (especially when, later, reading the slides on our own).


#### How knowledgeable was the instructor?






# **Comments and suggestions**

#### How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?



# **Suggestions and comments**


# Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	11.1%
4	0	0%
Very helpful: 5	8	88.9%

# **Comments and suggestions**

#### How useful were the training labs?




Not useful: 1 0 0%
2 0 0%
3 0 0%
4 2 22.2%

Very useful: 5 **7** 77.8%

# **Comments and suggestions**

it toke a long time to make the labs. After a time there should be a declaration and lesson best practice to see how the right way is.

# How difficult were the training labs?




Too easy: 1 0% 0 2 11.1% 1 3 44.4% 3 33.3% Too difficult: 5 1 11.1%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

smaller labs would be better.

#### Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough: 1 0% 2 11.1% 3 66.7% 2 22.2% 0%


Definitely too much time for labs: 5

#### **Comments and suggestions**

I would prefer more small labs, but discuss it

# How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?






# **Comments and suggestions**

wireless internet access was not reliable enough.

Company inhouse

# How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?



Poor.: 1 **0** 0% 2 **0** 0% 3 **2** 22.2%


**4 2** 22.2%

Very good.: 5 55.6%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

Company inhouse


How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



# **Comments and suggestions**

Company inhouse


# How much did you learn?



Not much: 1 **0** 0%
2 **0** 0%
3 **1** 11.1%
4 **2** 22.2%
A lot: 5 **6** 66.7%

# **Comments and suggestions**

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



#### **Comments and suggestions**

#### What part(s) of the course did you like most?

Simplicity of compiling a kernel and setting up a new board environment. more overview and discussion of examples.

i2C Subsystem Platform drivers Kernel Frameworks for device drives Misc subsystem Reference links to documentation Development of drivers Architecture explanations


THe Nunchuk part.

#### What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Accessing I/O memory and ports. Sleeping and handling interrupts.

The requirement to use ubuntu (especially the gnome GUI).

#### **Overall rating**




**6 5** 55.6%

Excellent: 7 1 11.1%

#### **Comments and suggestions**

Since we were more than 8 participants, additional computers (more than 8) would (according to me) still be manageable and provide each participant with a challenge of his own.

# Further training needs?



No: 1 **0** 0%

**2 1** 11.1%

3 **2** 22.2%


**4 4** 44.4%

Yes, definitely: 5 2 22.2%

#### **Comments**

Yocto

#### How far do you come from?



From less than 100 km / 60 miles

From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country

**1** 11.1%


55.6%

From a foreign country

3 33.3%


r

# What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)		0%
Availability for onsite sessions		11.1%
Trainer	2	22.2%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	2	22.2%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	1	11.1%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	1	11.1%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable My management made the decision	5	55.6%
Other	2	22.2%

# How did you first learn about Free Electrons?




Course recommended by previous participants 4 44.4% Internet search engines 0 0%

Technical resources on the Free Electrons website **0** 0%

Presentations in conferences  $\mathbf{0}$  0% Free Electrons chosen by my management  $\mathbf{4}$  44.4% Other  $\mathbf{1}$  11.1%

# Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Board Support Package development: make Linux / Android support your new hardware		55.6%
Boot time reduction	2	22.2%
Power management	2	22.2%
Realtime: implementation and bug fixing	4	44.4%
Build environment deployment and support	3	33.3%
Root filesystem design and development	3	33.3%
Technical support	3	33.3%
Investigating and fixing bugs	2	22.2%
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	1	11.1%
Onsite development, support and consulting services	1	11.1%
Not interested	1	11.1%
Other	1	11.1%

#### **Comments and expectations**

The training was very useful! Thank you very much!

11/12

# Number of daily responses

