


5 responses

[View all responses](#) [Publish analytics](#)

Summary

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



Not met: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
Fully met: 5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions

The training is really good, but is very dense.

Very complete course

How was the duration of the course?


Too short.: 1	0	0%
	2	20%
	3	80%
	4	0%
Definitely too long. : 5	0	0%

Comments and suggestions

I think in certain moments goes very fast.

It was pretty dense, I'm pretty sure it could be spread into more days.. I understand reasons for not doing so, still feels it could use more time

How useful was the lecture document?




Not useful.: 1	0	0%
	2	0%
	3	0%
	4	40%
Very useful: 5	3	60%

Comments and suggestions

Some slides, like UBIFS or Realtime, are not completely clear, especially if especially if one has never heard
 UBIFS part was not all that well prepared unfortunately

How knowledgeable was the instructor?




Not enough for me: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
More than enough: 5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions

It's really good.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




Not much added value: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	40%
A lot of added value: 5	3	60%

Suggestions and comments

For UBIFS or Realtime, instructor explanations are mandatory

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
Very helpful: 5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions

How useful were the training labs?




Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	20%

Very useful: 5 **4** 80%

Comments and suggestions

There is no time enough for make all the training labs understanding them.

How difficult were the training labs?




Too easy: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	4	80%
4	1	20%
Too difficult: 5	0	0%

Comments and suggestions

Perfect approach I think, but it need more informations for UBIFS part

The difficult part was utilizing UBIFS, because materials were not that great initially


Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



Definitely not enough:	1	0	0%
	2	1	20%
	3	4	80%
	4	0	0%
Definitely too much time for labs:	5	0	0%

Comments and suggestions


How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?



Poor:	1	0	0%
	2	0	0%
	3	0	0%
	4	0	0%
Very good:	5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions


How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?



Poor.: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
Very good.: 5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions


How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



Not well: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
Very well: 5	5	100%

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?



Not much: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	20%
A lot: 5	4	80%


Comments and suggestions

Basic linux knowledge are mandatory, if you have it's OK, but if you don't have I think it can be very complicated

I've not any experiencie in Linux nor Embedded Linux, but now at least I see all the possibilities that you can make with Embedded Linux.

Now I have to study everything slowly and carefully

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



Not useful: 1	0	0%
2	1	20%
3	1	20%
4	2	40%
Very useful.: 5	1	20%

Comments and suggestions

My job is not programmer of Linux nor Embedde Linux, but I have a vision now for a project that our company is going to make. Actually that's exactly what I need for my job.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

Kernel, buildroot, real-time
 Real-tim in embedded linux and performance measuring

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

UBIFS not well explained, labs were a little bit confusing. But Michal fixed meanwhile and everything became more clear.
 I don't understand ubifs. Is the part of learning training that I've completely in black.
 Ubi-fs took too much time and it is difficult
 UBIFS part was probably the worst. I understand we were only the second group trying that and in the end we manage to go through it, but still it was bit awkward
 UBIFS

Overall rating




	5	0	0%
	6	1	20%
Excellent:	7	4	80%

Comments and suggestions

Free-electrons is a very good example of what free-software and free thinking is!

UBIFS part prevents me from giving full points :-)

Further training needs?




No:	1	0	0%
	2	1	20%
	3	0	0%
	4	1	20%
Yes, definitely:	5	3	60%

Comments

Yocto Linux developing


I would like Yocto formation.

How far do you come from?




From less than 100 km / 60 miles	0	0%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	1	20%
From a foreign country	4	80%

What reasons prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	2	40%
Availability for on-site sessions	0	0%
Trainer	1	20%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	4	80%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	2	40%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	1	20%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	0	0%
Other	1	20%

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	0	0%
Internet search engines	3	60%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	1	20%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	0	0%
Other	1	20%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Linux board support package development	1	20%
Boot time reduction	1	20%
Power management	0	0%
Development of real-time systems	0	0%

Build environment support	0	0%
Root filesystem development	0	0%
Technical support	0	0%
Bug fixing	0	0%
Technology and architecture consulting	1	20%
On-site engineering	0	0%
Not interested	2	40%
Other	0	0%

Comments and expectations

Number of daily responses

