


This form will soon be upgraded to the new version of Google Forms. [Learn more.](#)

8 responses

Summary [See complete responses](#)

How did the course meet your learning objectives?




1 - Not met	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	3	38%
5 - Fully met	4	50%

Comments and suggestions

very good ! Vérifier le niveau des participants pour éviter les écarts de niveau trop fort.

How was the duration of the course?




1 - Too short.	3	38%
2	1	13%
3	0	0%
4	4	50%
5 - Definitely too long.	0	0%

Comments and suggestions

bien mais pas le temps de faire tous les TP.

How useful was the lecture document?




1 - Not useful.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	4	50%
5 - Very useful	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

cela manque parfois d'overview ou de summary. Certains slides pourraient etre plus explicites, sans les commentaires de l'instructeurs, c'est parfois incomprehensible.

How knowledgeable was the instructor?




1 - Not enough for me	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	3	38%
5 - More than enough	4	50%

Comments and suggestions

Bonne présentation, bonne connaissances. Par contre a du mal a recadrer le cours quand quelqu'un perturbe trop avec des questions a coté de la plaque. Tres bonne connaissance du systeme, néanmoins les explications n'étaient pas tjrs tres claires. Moins bien que Thomas P. qui rend les choses bcp plus faciles a comprendre, c'est tres appreciables pour des sujets un peu compliques.

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?




1 - Not much added value	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	2	25%
5 - A lot of added value	5	63%

Suggestions and comments

les slides avec plein de flèches : il faudrait plusieurs slides avec une nouvelle flèche a chaque fois, pour que ca soit un peu plus progressif


Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - Very helpful	6	75%

Comments and suggestions

How useful were the training labs?



1 - Not useful	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	38%
4	0	0%
5 - Very useful	5	63%


Comments and suggestions



1 - Too easy	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	5	63%
4	0	0%
5 - Too difficult	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

I am a beginner in C and beginner in Linux, so really hard but i really appreciate the course I am a beginner in C and beginner in Linux, so really hard but i really appreciate the course




1 - Definitely not enough	2	25%
2	1	13%
3	5	63%
4	0	0%
5 - Definitely too much time for labs	0	0%

Comments and suggestions


L'instructeur s'est laisse deborder par certaines personnes n'ayant aucune connaissance Linux, voire langage C, il a donc manque une journee pour tout finir. Il aurait fallu recadrer cela pour rester ds le scope de la formation. I am a beginner in C and beginner in Linux, so really hard but i really appreciate the course I am a beginner in C and beginner in Linux, so really hard but i really appreciate the course

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?




1 - Poor	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - Very good	6	75%

Comments and suggestions



1 - Poor.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	0	0%
5 - Very good.	7	88%

Comments and suggestions



1 - Not well	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	1	13%
5 - Very well	6	75%

Comments and suggestions




1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	1	13%
5 - A lot	6	75%

Comments and suggestions

Bcp de choses restent encore a approfondir, notamment car tout l'agenda n'a pas ete couvert pdt les 5 jours.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



1 - Not useful	1	13%
2	0	0%
3	4	50%
4	0	0%
5 - Very useful.	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

Only negative comment is that the course has been delayed by people with less skills than others, which means that we lost time on subjects which delayed the overal training and finally we lacked of time. The trainer may have better focused the training course and delay answers. I believe this is due to a bit of lack of experience on this course from the trainer. Ce n'est pas lie a la formation, mais a mon travail qui n'est pas lie a Linux aujourd'hui. IMPORTANT: I would suggest to introduce the course by a general overview of what will be trained, took the first 2 hours to explain the diffe ...


What part(s) of the course did you like most?

l'alternance TP/ Cours Course + labs, the ratio was very good, except the last day where we had to bypass labs all all

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Les participants qui n'ont pas le niveau et qui sont a coté de la plaque. nothing nothing


Overall rating



1 - Very disappointing	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	2	25%
6	5	63%
7 - Excellent	1	13%

Comments and suggestions

An extra session?




1 - No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	25%
4	4	50%
5 - Yes, definitely	2	25%

Comments


one more week :) I definitely would be interested in going a bit further but will miss budget

How far do you come from?



From less than 100 km / 60 miles	7	88%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	1	13%
From a foreign country	0	0%


What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	5	63%
Availability for on-site sessions	3	38%
Trainer	3	38%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	2	25%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	0	0%
Cost	0	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	0	0%
Other	2	25%


People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?




Course recommended by previous participants	6	75%
Internet search engines	2	25%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	0	0%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	0	0%
Other	0	0%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Comments and expectations

Je regrette que l'instructeur n'est pas ete Thomas.



Comments and explanations

For this particular session, we managed to complete most of the lectures, but several practical labs couldn't be finished.

This happened because 3 participants didn't master all the technical prerequisites, in particular C programming. This caused the instructor to spend much more time than expected supporting the participants, and slowed down the progress of the class as a whole.

Here are the actions that we are taking to reduce the likelihood of such problems in future sessions:

- Put a stronger emphasis on the technical prerequisites in the course agenda and in the participant confirmation documents.
- When the majority of the class is done with a given practical lab, move on to the next lab, and let the remaining participants continue their labs later (during normal lab time, after course hours). This way, everyone is kept busy learning and practicing all the time.
- Make sure that this advice is well documented so that all Free Electrons trainers organize their classes in this way. Some of us already do this, but this wasn't a common practice yet.

Another complaint was that another trainer was expected by a few of the first people who registered. This was a communication issue, which we addressed before the session by clarifying who the trainer is expected to be. In this case like in any case anyway, the trainer had much more than enough knowledge and experience to teach the course (he is one of the official kernel maintainers, responsible for support for all SoCs from Allwinner).

The problem that happened was mainly some lack of experience managing a class with very heterogeneous levels of skills. Remember that our trainers are engineers first, spending most of their time in real-life projects, and just spending a few weeks per year sharing their experience through training sessions.