6 responses

Summary See complete responses

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



1 -	Not met	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	17%
4		**2**	33%
5 -	Fully met	**3**	50%

Comments and suggestions

Several real world questions remain unclear: What linux kernel/distribution to use for a given platform? How to find out features/modules allready implemented vs. writing them from scratch? Module/driver hierarchy ink.. driver framework has not been clearly understood. Very important topic but the conceptual part was not given attention, we went straight to looking at low level code examples.

How was the duration of the course?



1 -	Too short.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**6**	100%
4		**0**	0%
5 -	Definitely too long.	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

How helpful was the lecture document?



1 -	Not helpful.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**3**	50%
5 -	Very useful	**3**	50%

Comments and suggestions

Slides could benefit from less text and more graphics. It is no very easy to read the text while listening to the instructor. More visual diagrams would make it easier to comprehend. Each topic should start (and not end:-) with a graphic to help grasp the concept and then follow to code examples etc. Using text to explain concepts is useful when reading the slides after the lecture, but not during the lecture itself.

Will you recommend this document to others?

1 -	No.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Definitely	**5**	83%

Comments and suggestions

If you have Linux / Android project opportunities, will you use this document again in the future?

1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	33%
5 -	Definitely	**4**	67%

Comments and suggestions

How knowledgeable was the instructor?



1 -	Not enough for me	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	More than enough	**5**	83%

Comments and suggestions

Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?



1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**4**	67%
5 -	Definitely	**2**	33%

Comments

How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?



1 -	Poorly	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Very well	**5**	83%

Suggestions and comments

Sometimes instructor would go in more details than needed to understand the topic.

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?



1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**0**	0%
5 -	Very helpful	**6**	100%

Comments and suggestions

How useful were the training labs?



1 -	Not useful	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Very useful	**5**	83%

Comments and suggestions

Would be great to also try DMA and driver frameworks.

How difficult were the training labs?



1 -	Too easy	**0**	0%
2		**1**	17%
3		**4**	67%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Too difficult	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

There was not enough training material about the topics in the later week (mmap, dma, platform drivers, misc drivers etc).

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?



1 -	Definitely not enough	**0**	0%
2		**1**	17%
3		**4**	67%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Definitely too much time for labs	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

I would propose to start the labs each day in the afternoon (let's say at 4) such that people can continue after the end of the course by themselves. Maybe labs should be more strictly managed by the instructor. Instructor says " Do this step.." giving 5 minutes to complete and giving the answer after 5 minutes. Then "Do this step..." 10 minutes, give answer. Etc.. Etc.. This way we could cover much more material and not lose time on some minor problems which were not crucial but timeconsuming. lose time due to the lab-guide, not all actions to do, are described in the guide => lose time ...

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

1 -	Poor	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**0**	0%
5 -	Very good	**6**	100%

Comments and suggestions

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?



1 -	Poor.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Very good.	**5**	83%

Comments and suggestions

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



1 -	Not well	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Very well	**5**	83%

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?



1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**3**	50%
5 -	A lot	**3**	50%

Comments and suggestions

By learning more one often ends up with more questions than answers, but this is regarding all new topics, so nothing negative regarding this course.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



1 -	Not useful	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	17%
4		**4**	67%
5 -	Very useful.	**1**	17%

Comments and suggestions

Would you recommend this course to others?



1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	33%
5 -	Yes, definitely	**4**	67%

Comments and suggestions

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

labs writing drivers variety between theory and lab

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

some parts of the lectures (especially in the final day) were too detailed and hard to grasp. difficult and important part (USB-driver) was given the last day, difficult to keep concentrated

Overall rating



1 -	Very disappointing	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**0**	0%
5		**0**	0%
6		**5**	83%
7 -	Excellent	**1**	17%

Comments and suggestions

The number of partcipant must be reduced at minimum we were 6 in that session, I guess it is OK, may be it would be not efficient enough with more ressources

An extra session?



1 -	No	**2**	33%
2		**0**	0%
3		**2**	33%
4		**1**	17%
5 -	Yes, definitely	**1**	17%

Comments

Embedded Linux system development More deep information about DMA/mmap, board bringup, platform devices. no no extra session requested Using Buildroot etc.. android

How far do you come from?



From less than 100 km / 60 miles	**1**	17%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	**2**	33%
From a foreign country	**3**	50%

What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	**2**	33%
Availability for on-site sessions	**1**	17%
Trainer	**2**	33%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	**2**	33%
Language	**2**	33%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	**1**	17%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	**0**	0%
Cost	**1**	17%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	**0**	0%
Other	**1**	17%

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	**2**	33%
Internet search engines	**3**	50%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	**0**	0%
Presentations in conferences	**0**	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	**0**	0%
Other	**1**	17%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?



Board Support Package development: make Linux / Android support your new hardware	**2**	3
Boot time reduction	**1**	1
Power management	**1**	1
Real-time: implementation and bug fixing	**2**	3
Build environment deployment and support	**3**	5
Root filesystem design and development	**2**	3
Technical support	**2**	3
Investigating and fixing bugs	**1**	1
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	**1**	1
On-site development, support and consulting services	**0**	
Not interested	**2**	3
Other	**2**	3

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Comments and expectations

very good introduction to the linux kernel / driver, good startpoint to start from to continue

Number of daily responses