# 7 [responses](#)

Summary [See complete responses](#)

How did the course meet your learning objectives?


Not met   Fully met

1 -	Not met	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Fully met	**5**	71%

Comments and suggestions

How was the duration of the course?

Too short.   Definitely too long.

1 -	Too short.	**0**	0%
2		**1**	14%
3		**6**	86%
4		**0**	0%
5 -	Definitely too long.	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

For this amount of data one week was certainly not too long

How useful was the lecture document?

Not useful.   Very useful

1 -	Not useful.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	14%
5 -	Very useful	**6**	86%

Comments and suggestions

Please add page/slide numbers. Once they get shuffled, reordering takes some time.

**How knowledgeable was the instructor?**

1 - Not enough for me	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**3**	43%
5 - More than enough	**4**	57%

Not enough for meMore than enough

Comments and suggestions

He knew very well the pitfalls of lab work and was able help out on encoutered issues promptly

How much value did the instructor add to lecture materials?

1 - Not much added value	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	14%
4	**3**	43%
5 - A lot of added value	**3**	43%

Not much added valueA lot of added value

Suggestions and comments

Useful additions from instructor's own practical experience. Clear answers to questions. French accent sometimes challenging to understand.   Fairly strong French accent made it a bit more challenging to follow the lecturer

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

1 - Not much	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
5 - Very helpful	**7**	100%

Not much   Very helpful

Comments and suggestions

This went very well

How useful were the training labs?

1 - Not useful	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
5 - Very useful	**7**	100%

Not useful   Very useful

Comments and suggestions

Very well built process. Forces to repeat things.   Best part of the course. Good that most of the time was spent with the labs.

**How difficult were the training labs?**

1 - Too easy	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	4	57%
4	3	43%
5 - Too difficult	0	0%

Too easy   Too difficult

Comments and suggestions

Some additional hints for (not topic related) common problems would help.   In given time frame they were just fine.

**Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?**

1 - Definitely not enough	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	7	100%
4	0	0%
5 - Definitely too much time for labs	0	0%

Definitely not enoughDefinitely too much time for labs

Comments and suggestions

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

1 - Poor	1	14%
2	1	14%
3	4	57%
4	1	14%
5 - Very good	0	0%

Poor   Very good

Comments and suggestions

"Poor" rating is due to Tellabs, we had broken switch, electricity outage and perhaps too small space.   Tellabs did not provide very good network or computing equipment. This wasn't the organizer's fault.

**How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?**

1 -	Poor.	**1**	14%
2		**0**	0%
3		**3**	43%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very good.	**1**	14%

Poor.   Very good.

Comments and suggestions

At least two of the eight computers were malfunctioning. This wasn't the organizer's fault.

**How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?**

1 -	Not well	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**5**	71%
5 -	Very well	**2**	29%

Not well   Very well

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?

1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**1**	14%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	14%
5 -	A lot	**5**	71%

Not much   A lot

Comments and suggestions

How useful should this course be in your daily job?

1 -	Not useful	**0**	0%
2		**3**	43%
3		**1**	14%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very useful.	**1**	14%

Not useful   Very useful.

Comments and suggestions

I'm not currently working on Linux based products.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

The hands-on exercises, which were very well planned -- difficult and interesting enough but not impossible.   Good coverage, hands on expertise, well built excercises   Practical labs   All practical labs. The first Busybox setup comes first to mind.   Lab work, at least went thinks were progressing well :)

**What part(s) of the course did you like least?**

-

Overall rating

1 -	Very disappointing	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**0**	0%
5		**0**	0%
6		**5**	71%
7 -	Excellent	**2**	29%

Comments and suggestions

You could verify and possibly update the HDMI output instructions if you still use IGEPv2 boards in future courses.

An extra session?

1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**1**	14%
3		**2**	29%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Yes, definitely	**2**	29%

Comments

Kernel/driver development   Not at this moment, although Linux as a topic is interesting

How far do you come from?

From less than 100 km / 60 miles	**7**	100%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	**0**	0%
From a foreign country	**0**	0%

What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?

|		
Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	**0**	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	**0**	0%
Trainer	**0**	0%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	**0**	0%
Language	**0**	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	**0**	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	**0**	0%
Cost	**0**	0%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	**6**	86%
Other	**1**	14%

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?

Course recommended by previous participants	**0**	0%
Internet search engines	**0**	0%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	**0**	0%
Presentations in conferences	**0**	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	**6**	86%
Other	**1**	14%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?

Board Support Package development: make Linux / Android support your new hardware	**1**
Boot time reduction	**0**
Power management	**1**
Real-time: implementation and bug fixing	**3**
Build environment deployment and support	**0**
Root filesystem design and development	**1**
Technical support	**0**
Investigating and fixing bugs	**1**
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	**1**
On-site development, support and consulting services	**1**
Not interested	**1**
Other	**1**

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Comments and expectations

Number of daily responses