


# 4 [responses](#)

## Summary [See complete responses](#)

How did the course meet your learning objectives?




1 - Not met	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	25%
5 - Fully met	3	75%

### Comments and suggestions

More time to do code modification on the last two labs. It will be nice if you could decrease the time per session day (8) to less hours and maybe increase the number of days. This, to make the course more time friendly.

How was the duration of the course?




1 - Too short.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	25%
4	1	25%
5 - Definitely too long.	2	50%

### Comments and suggestions

Hour sessions per day were very long and it is difficult to keep attention / awake all day. However the material of the course is excellent !.

How useful was the lecture document?




1 - Not useful.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	25%
4	3	75%
5 - Very useful	0	0%

### Comments and suggestions

Maybe a better structure. Clearer concepts. More specific instructions to perform labs. It would be great to include topics as power management framework and also some profiling tools in order to make more complete the same.


How knowledgeable was the instructor?



1 - Not enough for me	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5 - More than enough	4	100%

**Comments and suggestions**


Chris is a patient and knowledgeable instructor. Ready to look for info when this wasn't available. Chris is a high skilled instructor, however he could be more dynamic in the way that he conducts the lectures in order to keep the attention from the audience for 8 hours :)



1 - Not much added value	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	4	100%
5 - A lot of added value	0	0%

**Suggestions and comments**


As he is a very knowledgeable guy he add very interesting comments while lecturing the topics. It would be great if he can learn more about more topics like radios and / or sensors will be awesome !.



1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5 - Very helpful	4	100%

**Comments and suggestions**

He was always able to help us in a very kind way.




1 - Not useful	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	50%
5 - Very useful	2	50%

**Comments and suggestions**

It would be great that this can be further customized and / or include the new minnowboard from Intel.

**How difficult were the training labs?**




1 - Too easy	0	0%
2	1	25%
3	2	50%
4	1	25%
5 - Too difficult	0	0%

**Comments and suggestions**

The level of the labs is good enough, however in some cases due time constraints we have to use directly the solutions from free-electrons site :) it would be great if time / difficult level can be modified a little bit.

**Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?**




1 - Definitely not enough	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	50%
4	2	50%
5 - Definitely too much time for labs	0	0%

**Comments and suggestions**

The time for labs was enough to finish it all of them.

**How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?**




1 - Poor	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	75%
5 - Very good	1	25%

**Comments and suggestions**

As course hosts we need to ensure that we have enough electrical contacts.

**How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?**




1 - Poor.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	25%
4	1	25%
5 - Very good.	2	50%

**Comments and suggestions**

Build process took longer than expected. Barely enough process capacity. They were enough to conduct the training, however we need to ensure that we have all hard disk drive size available to work whit it. For this occasion we were able to solve this constraint very quickly.

**How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?**




1 - Not well	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	25%
5 - Very well	3	75%

**Comments and suggestions**

Excellent !

**How much did you learn?**




1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	25%
4	1	25%
5 - A lot	2	50%

**Comments and suggestions**

Now we are able to work with most common Linux / Android tasks required for the level that we are going to handle as an Intel Validation Team.

**How useful should this course be in your daily job?**



1 - Not useful	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	75%
5 - Very useful.	1	25%

**Comments and suggestions**

Keep improving !


**What part(s) of the course did you like most?**

practices Labs, JNI How to include libraries and apps, this might be very helpful specially when trying / using testing (in house made) applications.

**What part(s) of the course did you like least?**

history long explanations on applications with little practical insight. We don't cover power management / sensors topics :(.

**Overall rating**




1 - Very disappointing	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	3	75%
7 - Excellent	1	25%

**Comments and suggestions**

For future trainings include minnowboard insted Devkit8000 ;)

**An extra session?**




1 - No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	50%
4	0	0%
5 - Yes, definitely	2	50%

**Comments**


A little bit more time to elaborate more on labs developments. Again, more time dedicated to develop labs, code and applications will be appreciated. :) of course yes !

**How far do you come from?**



From less than 100 km / 60 miles	4	100%
From more than 100 km / 60 miles, same country	0	0%
From a foreign country	0	0%


**What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?**



Factor	Count	Percentage
Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0%
Availability for on-site sessions	1	25%
Trainer	1	25%
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	1	25%
Language	0	0%
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0%
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	1	25%
Cost	1	25%
Not applicable - My management made the decision	2	50%
Other	0	0%


People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

**How did you first learn about Free Electrons?**



Source	Count	Percentage
Course recommended by previous participants	1	25%
Internet search engines	0	0%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	1	25%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	2	50%
Other	0	0%

**Interested in other types of embedded Linux / Android engineering services?**



Service	Count	Percentage
Board Support Package development: make Linux / Android support your new hardware	0	0%
Boot time reduction	0	0%
Power management	4	100%
Real-time: implementation and bug fixing	1	25%
Build environment deployment and support	1	25%
Root filesystem design and development	1	25%
Technical support	0	0%
Investigating and fixing bugs	1	25%
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	0	0%
On-site development, support and consulting services	2	50%
Not interested	0	0%
Other	0	0%

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

**Comments and expectations**

