7 responses

Summary See complete responses

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

1 -	Not met	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	14%
4		**4**	57%
5 -	Fully met	**2**	29%

Comments and suggestions

Formation interactive dont le contenu a été modifié avec nos besoins

Course's theme was not exactly the one asked to my organization (original request was for "real-time OS principles" learning).

How was the duration of the course?



1 -	Too short.	**0**	0%
2		**1**	14%
3		**6**	86%
4		**0**	0%
5 -	Definitely too long.	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

Un peu court car il y beaucoup de chose à intégrer surtout quand on n'a pas le prérequis de savoir configurer et compiler le noyau on perd beaucoup de temps

One week was appropriate regarding subject complexity (from my point of view !). A few more "lab pauses" would be appreciated.

How helpful were the lecture materials?



1 -	Not helpful.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very useful	**5**	71%

Comments and suggestions

OK pour le contenu dans la forme les imprimés sont parfois petits et très difficile à lire.

Will you recommend these materials to others?

1 -	No.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	14%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Definitely	**4**	57%

Comments and suggestions

If you have Linux project opportunities, will you use these materials again in the future?

1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	14%
4		**3**	43%
5 -	Definitely	**3**	43%

Comments and suggestions

How knowledgeable was the instructor?



Not enough for meMore than enough

1 -	Not enough for me	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**3**	43%
5 -	More than enough	**4**	57%

Comments and suggestions

Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?



Not much Definitely

1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Definitely	**5**	71%

Comments

Some complex notions seems difficult for the instructor to explain (mostly about drivers framework / platform / devices ...) and let some confusion.

How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?



Poorly Very well

1 -	Poorly	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	14%
5 -	Very well	**6**	86%

Suggestions and comments

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

1 -	Poor	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**2**	29%
4		**1**	14%
5 -	Very good	**4**	57%

Comments and suggestions

Providing of Ubuntu PC's and test card (Calao) was much appreciated ! And pre-configuration of some folders (nfs roots) was a good point !

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

1 -	Poor.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	14%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very good.	**4**	57%

Comments and suggestions

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?



1 -	Not well	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	14%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very well	**4**	57%

Comments and suggestions

How much did you learn?

1 -	Not much	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	A lot	**5**	71%

Comments and suggestions

Although sometimes a little "lost", there's a huge amount of information to work on in following days.

How useful should this course be in your daily job?



1 -	Not useful	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**2**	29%
4		**2**	29%
5 -	Very useful.	**3**	43%

Comments and suggestions

Because products I work on aren't yet under Linux and I should not have to work on drivers themselves.

Would you recommend this course to others?

1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	14%
5 -	Yes, definitely	**6**	86%

Comments and suggestions

un stage très utile mais n'est pas nécessaire pour tous les mondes, je le recommanderai uniquement pour les gens qu'on a le besoin.

What part(s) of the course did you like most?

Practical labs with test card. Travaux Pratique.

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Theorical part regarding drivers framework (so much complexity !).

Overall rating



Very disappointing Excellent

1 -Very disappointing	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
5	**0**	0%
6	**6**	86%
7 -Excellent	**1**	14%

Comments and suggestions

An extra session?



No Yes, definitely

1 -No	**3**	43%
2	**1**	14%
3	**2**	29%
4	**1**	14%
5 -Yes, definitely	**0**	0%

Comments

Appronfondir le temps réel non NA More on real-time behaviour, investigation. no il n'est pas nécessaire pour mon travail. No

What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	**0**	0
Availability for on-site sessions	**0**	0
Trainer	**0**	0
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	**0**	0
Language	**0**	0
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	**0**	0
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	**0**	0
Cost	**0**	0
Not applicable - My management made the decision	**7**	100
Other	**0**	0

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	**0**	0%
Internet search engines	**0**	0%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	**0**	0%
Presentations in conferences	**1**	14%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	**6**	86%
Other	**0**	0%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Driver development - Make Linux support your new hardware	**2**	29%
Boot time reduction	**0**	0%
Power management	**0**	0%
Real-time: implementation and bug fixing	**1**	14%
Build environment deployment and support	**0**	0%
Root filesystem design and development	**0**	0%
Technical support	**3**	43%
Investigating and fixing bugs	**0**	0%
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	**0**	0%
On-site development, support and consulting services	**1**	14%
Not interested	**3**	43%
Other	**0**	0%

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Comments and expectations

Overall a very good and solid learning. A coherent company with free materials, LXR, etc : so different from "standard learning company" !

Number of daily responses


5
4
3
2
1
0
3/1/2012
3/5/2012