


8 [responses](#)

Summary [See complete responses](#)

How did the course meet your learning objectives?



1 - Not met	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	38%
5 - Fully met	5	63%

Comments and suggestions



How was the duration of the course?




1 - Too short.	0	0%
2	2	25%
3	5	63%
4	0	0%
5 - Definitely too long.	1	13%

Comments and suggestions



How helpful were the lecture materials?




1 - Not helpful.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	4	50%
5 - Very useful	4	50%

Comments and suggestions



Will you recommend these materials to others?




1 - No.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	13%
5 - Definitely	7	88%

Comments and suggestions



If you have Linux project opportunities, will you use these materials again in the future?




1 - No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - Definitely	6	75%

Comments and suggestions



How knowledgeable was the instructor?




Not enough for me More than enough

1 - Not enough for me	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	38%
5 - More than enough	5	63%

Comments and suggestions



Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?




Not much Definitely

1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	38%
5 - Definitely	5	63%

Comments



How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?




Poorly Very well

1 - Poorly	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5 - Very well	8	100%

Suggestions and comments



Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?




1 - No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - Definitely	6	75%

Comments and suggestions



How useful were the training labs?




1 - Not useful.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - Very useful.	6	75%

Comments and suggestions



How difficult were the training labs?




1 - Too difficult.	0	0%
2	1	13%
3	5	63%
4	1	13%
5 - Too easy.	1	13%

Comments and suggestions



Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?




1 -Not enough time	0	0%
2	2	25%
3	4	50%
4	1	13%
5 -Too much time	1	13%

Comments and suggestions

The time for practical labs in some cases was to short. Some times there was no clear information when the practical labs start and should end (time limit in which participants should finish tasks).

How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?




1 - Poor	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	25%
4	3	38%
5 - Very good	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

room and computers were provided by nsn

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?




1 - Poor.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	25%
4	2	25%
5 - Very good.	4	50%

Comments and suggestions

computers were provided by nsn

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule...)?




1 - Not well	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	3	38%
5 - Very well	4	50%

Comments and suggestions



How much did you learn?




1 - Not much	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	25%
5 - A lot	6	75%

Comments and suggestions

Huge amount of information was delivered during this course. Unfortunately the time to practice and memorize everything was to short.



How useful should this course be in your daily job?




1 -Not useful	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	13%
4	4	50%
5 -Very useful.	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

Hopefully it will provide a good basis for future projects.



Would you recommend this course to others?



1 -No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	13%
5 -Yes, definitely	7	88%

Comments and suggestions

It would be better to propose buying boards on which training was performed for later revision and practice. The number of boards should be equal to the number of participants. In other case some of them will be practicing and others not.


What part(s) of the course did you like most?

practical labs Putting things into practice in the practical labs Bootloader U-Boot Cross-Compiling Tout était parfait Real time embedded Cross-compiling Build environment deployment Embedded Linux and Linux Kernel

What part(s) of the course did you like least?

Some times even if the labs ware not finished by everyone the theoretical part for next session was started. Having to give back the equipment :(Linux and Real Time Tout était parfait Nothing none Debugging Nothing


Overall rating



1 -Very disappointing	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	1	13%
6	4	50%
7 -Excellent	3	38%

Comments and suggestions

An extra session?




1 -No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	3	38%
5 -Yes, definitely	5	63%

Comments

more detailed information on embedded systems and more practical labs like e.g. setting up a multimedia center on multimedia board or programming some industrial process (remote configuration of a board, display / return collected data from sensors / input data). Already booked ;) . Dans 6 mois... Implements our own kernel drivers It is already planned Linux porting and driver development Nice presentations and training. Thanks alot !!

What prompted you to choose Free Electrons?



Geographical proximity (public sessions only)	0	0
Availability for on-site sessions	3	38
Trainer	3	38
Open training materials that can be checked in advance	6	75
Language	4	50
Hardware giveaway (public sessions only)	0	0
Access to full feedback from participants to previous sessions	1	13
Cost	1	13
Not applicable - My management made the decision	2	25
Other	0	0


People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

How did you first learn about Free Electrons?



Course recommended by previous participants	1	13%
Internet search engines	2	25%
Technical resources on the Free Electrons website	3	38%
Presentations in conferences	0	0%
Free Electrons chosen by my management	2	25%
Other	0	0%

Interested in other types of embedded Linux engineering services?



Driver development - Make Linux support your new hardware	6	86%
Boot time reduction	3	43%
Power management	2	29%
Real-time: implementation and bug fixing	3	43%
Build environment deployment and support	2	29%
Root filesystem design and development	3	43%
Technical support	2	29%
Investigating and fixing bugs	2	29%
Consulting in technology selection and methodology	2	29%
On-site development, support and consulting services	1	14%
Not interested	1	14%
Other	0	0%

People may select more than one checkbox, so percentages may add up to more than 100%.

Comments and expectations



Number of daily responses

