4 [responses](#)

Summary [See complete responses](#)

How did the course meet your learning objectives?

Not met   Fully met

1 - Not met	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**2**	50%
5 - Fully met	**2**	50%

Comments and suggestions

It was really helpful to understand and investigate into Kernel linux and driver development. The implementation of an example driver is a great idea but It would be interesting to give data sheet about the device/communication. Perhaps, serial communication is obvious for many of your students.

How was the duration of the course?

Too short.   Definitely too long.

1 - Too short.	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**3**	75%
4	**0**	0%
5 - Definitely too long.	**1**	25%

Comments and suggestions

Great!

How helpful were the lecture materials?

1 -	Not helpful.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	50%
5 -	Very useful	**2**	50%

Comments and suggestions

I like the evolution of level of details in labs: the first lab is really explained step by step while explications for the last one are small.

Will you recommend these materials to others?

1 -	No.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**1**	25%
5 -	Definitely	**3**	75%

Comments and suggestions

If you have Linux project opportunities, will you use these materials again in the future?

1 -	No	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	50%
5 -	Definitely	**2**	50%

Comments and suggestions

the documents are already helpful for home practicing on SAM9G45

How knowledgeable was the instructor?

|		
1 - Not enough for me	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**1**	25%
5 - More than enough	**3**	75%

Not enough for meMore than enough

Comments and suggestions

even when he does not know something. At least, he knows where we can found informations.

### Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?

1 - Not much	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**2**	50%
5 - Definitely	**2**	50%

Not much   Definitely

Comments

How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?

the documents are already helpful for home practicing on SAM9G45

1 - Poorly	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	2	50%
5 - Very well	2	50%

Suggestions and comments

Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

1 - No	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5 - Definitely	4	100%

Comments and suggestions

How useful were the training labs?

1 - Not useful.	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	25%
5 - Very useful.	3	75%

Comments and suggestions

a very good point on this formation is the presence of the practical labs between each lectures. the rhythm is

dynamic and we keep our attention on the subject most of the time

How difficult were the training labs?

Too difficult.   Too easy.

1 - Too difficult.	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**2**	50%
4	**2**	50%
5 - Too easy.	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

they were a little bit too easy but make them harder will probably make them too long too.   the level is high and the prerequisites must be worked before the training, but all of this is bearable.

Was enough time dedicated to practical labs?

Not enough timeToo much time

1 - Not enough time	**1**	25%
2	**0**	0%
3	**2**	50%
4	**1**	25%
5 - Too much time	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

## How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment)?

Poor   Very good

1 - Poor	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	25%
4	**3**	75%
5 - Very good	**0**	0%

Comments and suggestions

A little bit noisy, ceiling light were not appropriate. (but It is not your fault)   the training conditions were more of valeo responsability. the materials furnished by free electron for the labs (CALAO) were good and easy to manipulate.

How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

1 -	Poor.	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**1**	25%
4		**1**	25%
5 -	Very good.	**2**	50%

Poor.   Very good.

Comments and suggestions

training computers were valeo or personal ones, this is more of valeo responsibility

How well was the course organized (program, registration, schedule…)?

1 -	Not well	**0**	0%
2		**0**	0%
3		**0**	0%
4		**2**	50%
5 -	Very well	**2**	50%

Not well   Very well

Comments and suggestions

The on-the-fly modifications were really appreciable.

How much did you learn?

Not much — A lot

|		
1 - Not much	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	25%
4	**2**	50%
5 - A lot	**1**	25%

Comments and suggestions

As expected!   very complete training

How useful should this course be in your daily job?

Not useful — Very useful.

1 - Not useful	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	25%
4	**2**	50%
5 - Very useful.	**1**	25%

Comments and suggestions

It should be useful, wait and see!   this training is not really related to my daily jobs for the moment, i did it to be able to switch to embedded linux projects soon

Would you recommend this course to others?

No — Yes, definitely

1 - No	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**1**	25%
5 - Yes, definitely	**3**	75%

Comments and suggestions

I saved many years of auto-learning with this formation. ▮ ▮ ▮

Overall rating

Very disappointing   Excellent

1 - Very disappointing	**0**	0%
2	**0**	0%
3	**0**	0%
4	**0**	0%
5	**0**	0%
6	**2**	50%
7 - Excellent	**2**	50%

Comments and suggestions

▮ ▮ ▮ ▮ ▮

An extra session?

No   Yes, definitely

1 - No	**1**	25%
2	**0**	0%
3	**1**	25%
4	**0**	0%
5 - Yes, definitely	**2**	50%

Comments

Yes but I have a lot to do. ▮ i would be interested in IPC, SMP, network distributed applications for embedded targets (arm cortex A9, blackfin, tricore) ▮

Number of daily responses