

## Training evaluation report

**Training session:** Embedded Linux Training  
**Training dates:** Nov. 27 - Dec. 1, 2006 (5 days)


**Number of participants:** 4  
**Returned feedback forms:** 4 / 4

Thank you for having organized a Free Electronics training session!  
Here is a wrap-up of evaluations from participants.

### Learning objectives

1. How well did the course meet your learning objectives?  
Any extra topic you would have like this course to cover?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not met
2	0	
3	1	
4	2	
5	1	Fully met




4 - Debug tools

2. How was the duration of the course?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Too short. Couldn't learn enough in such a short time.
2	2	A little too short
3	2	Just fine
4	0	A little too long
5	0	Definitely too long. The concepts could be learned in much less time.




2 - A lot of relevant information, adapted to our requests.



## Lecture materials

### 3. How helpful did you find the lecture materials?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not helpful. Made things more difficult to learn and understand.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Really made things easier to understand and learn.



5 - Slides with hyperlinks are very helpful.


### 4. Will you recommend these materials to others?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. Not helpful without following the sessions.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Definitely



### 5. Will you use these materials again in the future, for command or resource references?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. I will look for other sources of information.
2	0	
3	0	
4	0	
5	4	Definitely





5 - Mostly the O'Reilly book and the links + the Linux command memo

## Instructor added value

### 6. How knowledgeable was the instructor?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not enough for my own technical experience.
2	0	
3	0	
4	1	
5	3	More than enough for my own experience.






### 7. Did instructor oral explanations add value to the lecture materials?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No added value to reading the materials.
2	0	
3	0	
4	1	
5	3	Yes. The instructor really made very useful oral explanations.




### 8. How well did the instructor answer questions from the audience?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poorly. Didn't try to understand the questions well or rarely managed to find useful answers.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Answered very well to questions from the audience



### 9. Was the instructor helpful with practical labs?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No, not enough available and helpful during the labs.
2	0	
3	0	
4	0	
5	4	Yes. The instructor definitely helped to make labs a learning opportunity.






## Training labs

10. How useful did you find the training labs?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not useful. Didn't add significant value to the lectures.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Very useful. Helped to highlight things not understood and build useful experience.



5 - The labs allowed to refresh principles and basic commands


11. How difficult were the training labs?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Too difficult. Didn't help or even discouraged a beginner to get more familiar with the tools and concepts.
2	1	A bit too difficult. Would be better if the lab instructions gave a bit more details about explanations.
3	3	Just fine. Prompted me to look for answers, get my own experience and find my own solutions.
4	0	Too easy for my own technical level.
5	0	Too easy for everyone. Should challenge participants more and help everyone to practice on real issues.



12. Was enough time dedicated to the practical labs?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No. More practice is needed
2	1	A little bit more time would help.
3	3	Just fine
4	0	A little bit less time would be enough.
5	0	Don't need to spend so much time on labs. On-the-job practice is best






## Training conditions


13. How do you rate training conditions (room size, equipment, environment...)?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poor.
2	0	
3	0	
4	4	
5	0	Very good.




14. How do you rate the training equipment (mainly computers)?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Poor. Not powerful enough to execute practical labs.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Very good. Very little time waiting, more time learning.



15. How well was the course organized (program, registration, meeting the schedule...)?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not well
2	0	
3	1	
4	1	
5	2	Very well




## Overall rating

16. How much did you learn?

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Definitely not much
2	0	
3	2	
4	1	
5	1	Definitely more than I expected.




4 - The last part was very interesting.



17. How useful will this course be in your daily job?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Not useful.
2	1	
3	1	
4	1	
5	1	Very useful. Will make my job easier and more productive.




4 - The course allows to understand some practices better.

18. Would you recommend this course to others?


Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	No.
2	0	
3	0	
4	2	
5	2	Yes, definitely





## 19. Overall rating

Rating	Answers	Description
1	0	Very disappointing
2	0	Disappointing
3	0	A little bit disappointing
4	1	OK
5	0	Pretty good
6	2	Very good
7	1	Excellent



6 - 18/20 for the student - 19/20 for the master (trainer) - 20/20 for Godfather (Linus Torvalds).

## Instructor comments

Thanks to the (sometimes oral) suggestions from the audience, I will improve the training session...

- By adding the materials with the ideas and references I was given by participants during the 5 days.  
Many thanks!